Alwis Nazir <alwis.nazir@uin-suska.ac.id>

CITSM 2016 notification for paper 86

1 message

CITSM 2016 <citsm2016@easychair.org>   Mon, Mar 28, 2016 at 6:02 PM
To: Alwis Nazir <alwis.nazir@uin-suska.ac.id>

Dear Alwis Nazir

Congratulation! Your paper:
ID Number: 86
Title   : Hospital Patients Arrival Prediction Using Markov Chain Model Method

has been accepted with minor revisions. Please make the necessary changes based on reviewers' comments and suggestions. The detail reviews are in the bottom of this email.

Please also consider 4 mandatory steps for CITSM 2016 for author:

1. PDF eXpress (IEEE Xplore compatible)
Your revised manuscript must be compatible with IEEE Xplore. Please check with PDF eXpress at http://www.pdf-express.org/ conference ID: 36957X
For further instruction, please visit our website http://citsm.uinjkt.ac.id/preparing-final-manuscripts

2. Final "camera-ready" manuscript
The final "camera-ready" manuscript of your paper should be submitted in the same manner as the review manuscript using your easychair account before April 11, 2016.

3. Copyright form
Copyright form should be submitted to email: contact.citsm@uinjkt.ac.id before April 11, 2016. You can download the copyright form on http://citsm.uinjkt.ac.id/ieeecopyrightform.pdf

4. Registration and payment
Please be reminded that the due date for early bird registration is April 5, 2016. At least one author has to register for the conference. Payment and registration details can be found in http://citsm.uinjtk.ac.id

Should you have any question, do not hesitate to contact me. We look forward to seeing you at the Conference.

Sincerely,
Ismail Khalil, Husni Teja Sukmana
TPC Chair, CITSM 2016
http://citsm.uinjkt.ac.id
contact.citsm.uinjkt.ac.id

----------------------- REVIEW 1 --------------------
PAPER: 86
TITLE: Hospital Patients Arrival Prediction Using Markov Chain Model Method
AUTHORS: Alwis Nazir, Lia Anggraini, Lola Oktavia and Fadhilah Syafri

OVERALL EVALUATION: 1 (weak accept)
REVIEWER'S CONFIDENCE: 3 (medium)
Novelty and originality of the paper: rate the novelty and originality of the work presented in the paper: 3 (Some interesting ideas and results on a subject already well investigated)
Paper organization and readability: rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures and the completeness and accuracy of references: 3 (Readable, but minor revision is needed)
Importance of the topic: rate the importance of the topic addressed in the paper and its timeliness within its area of research: 3 (fair)

----------- Review -----------
The paper did not follow the IEEE format, please follow the format.

Please explain more about why the elimination of patients who come on Sunday and for the first time. How about the second - fifth time patients?

Is the term of Data Reduction is appropriate? How about data cleansing? Why the data should be reduced?

How many data have been used to be model data and validation data? What is the method to divide them? Please explain

In Conclusion "...combination between Markov Chain and another method...", what is the method for example?

In order to comply with this conference, the maximum pages should be revise to 6 pages.

----------------------- REVIEW 2 ---------------------
PAPER: 86
TITLE: Hospital Patients Arrival Prediction Using Markov Chain Model Method
AUTHORS: Alwis Nazir, Lia Anggraini, Lola Oktavia and Fadhilah Syafri

OVERALL EVALUATION: 2 (accept)
REVIEWER'S CONFIDENCE: 2 (low)
Novelty and originality of the paper: rate the novelty and originality of the work presented in the paper: 4 (Significant original work and novel results)
Paper organization and readability: rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures and the completeness and accuracy of references: 4 (Well written)
Importance of the topic: rate the importance of the topic addressed in the paper and its timeliness within its area of research: 4 (good)

----------- Review -----------
The purpose of the paper is clear and it was well written. Nevertheless, the paper is too long i.e. 14 pages long. So I think the author need to condense the paper, removing some details.

The conclusion part should not be written using point-form but rather paragraph form to highlight the points.