



Imron Rosidi <imronrosidi@gmail.com>

Thank you for submitting your review of Manuscript ID FTMP-2022-0025 for Politics, Religion & Ideology

1 message

Politics, Religion & Ideology <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>
Reply-To: pri.editor@keele.ac.uk
To: imronrosidi@gmail.com

Tue, Aug 23, 2022 at 8:53 PM

23-Aug-2022

Dear Dr Imran Rosidi:

Thank you for reviewing the above manuscript, entitled "Reinterpreting the First Pillar of the Nation: (Dis)Continuities of Islamic Discourses about the State Ideology in Indonesia" for Politics, Religion & Ideology.

We greatly appreciate the voluntary contribution that each reviewer gives to the Journal.

We hope that we may continue to seek your assistance with the refereeing process for Politics, Religion & Ideology, and hope also to receive your own research papers that are appropriate to our aims and scope.

We would be interested to hear your experience of reviewing for us today, please click the following link to complete a short survey: <https://survey.alchemer.eu/s3/90329429/Taylor-Francis-peer-review-survey?ac=FTMP>

Sincerely,
Dr Sheikh
Editor in Chief, Politics, Religion & Ideology
pri.editor@keele.ac.uk

Reinterpreting the First Pillar of the Nation: (Dis)Continuities of Islamic Discourses about the State Ideology in Indonesia

Referee Affiliation

Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau

Manuscript ID:

FTMP-2022-0025

Manuscript Type

Research Paper

Keywords

Indonesia, ideology, Nationalism, Islam, Islamic law, Pancasila

Date Assigned:

05-Aug-2022

Date Review Returned:

23-Aug-2022

As a thank you and to acknowledge the contribution of our reviewers, the journal may publish a list of the names of those who have reviewed at the end of the year. This will not be linked to any specific paper and will only be done if the list of reviewers is long enough to protect the anonymity of the review process for individual papers. If you would prefer for your name **not to be included** in a published list of reviewers, please indicate this below.

Do not include my name

Assessment in Brief

req Does this article present an original viewpoint?	Yes
req Does this article present original material?	Yes
req Is there reason to suspect plagiarism in this article?	No

It would be very helpful if you could bear the following questions in mind during your written assessment:

- 1. Is the issue that the article focuses on a significant one in the context of totalitarian movements, radical ideologies, political religions, or religious politics?**
- 2. Does the article display a critical awareness of the relevant literature? Would you wish to suggest additional items of literature?**
- 3. To which extent is the article convincingly argued?**
- 4. Is the article clearly and logically structured?**
- 5. Does the article require editing for language and formulations?**
- 6. If you recommend publication with revisions, which passages do you feel most need revising, expanding or deleting?**

Recommendation

Accept subject to amendments

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Yes

Confidential Comments to the Editors

I recommend that this article is publishable but it needs some revisions as I mention in the comments to the author below.

Comments to the Author

REVIEW

The topic of this article is, basically, timely and important. It focuses on a significant one in the context of religious politics. It aims to explore and explain the discourse of Muslims in Indonesia about the Pancasila (Indonesian state ideology). However, the three discursive positions proposed in the manuscript are unclear because the author does not provide who is behind each position (Muslims or Islamic actors). It is important to know the actors who supported Full acceptance of Pancasila as the state ideology, complementing Pancasila with Islamic law, and outright rejection of Pancasila and its replacement by (political interpretation of) Islam. When the author claims about these three discourses, he/she should have strong arguments by giving some actors proposing the above discourses.

The article also should provide methods of collecting data. There is no explanation about this. Although this article is not based on field research, the author should explain in the introduction. He/she has to explain the data collection technique and so forth.

I think the discourse of Pancasila as the state ideology is final when the Old regime was replaced by the New Order. However, there has been, of course, some Muslims who tried to implement Islamic ideology. In 2002, the Bali bombing done by radical Muslims indicates this struggle. So, there might be only two positions; full acceptance and half-hearted acceptance.

Efforts to implement Islamic law in district level are not an indication of rejection of Pancasila. So, three discursive positions of Islamic figures concerning the state ideology need more elaboration in terms of who are the actors or figures behind them to identify the clear analysis of this article.

Some literatures to enrich this paper are "The limits of religious freedom in Indonesia: with reference to the first pillar Ketuhanan Yang Maha Esa of Pancasila (A. Mu'ti and AN Burhani) and From Political Parties to Cultural Organizations: Indonesian Islamic Movements during the New Order (I. Rosidi)

Above all, however, no account of Islamic figures supporting the three Islamic discourses and clear methods of collecting data has become the lack of this paper. Revisions are required on these issues before this manuscript will be published.

Files attached

Do you want to get recognition for this review on Publons?

Yes

Author's Response

Files attached