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## A. The Overview of Junior High School 10 Tapung

Junior High School 10 Tapung is one of the schools which uses Curriculum 2013 as guidance in teaching and learning process. There are 19
人ิ of second grade consist of 210 students, and 6 classes of third grade consist of 175 जे
stiflents. The number of teachers who teach are 30 teachers, 4 of them are English teachers. All of the English teachers had completed their undergraduate degree. In this school, English is taught in all grades. It is taught twice a week. The facility also supports the process of teaching such as projector, speakers, etc.

## B. Description of the Data

The data of the research is data about the classroom observation and the $\underset{\text { scơr }}{\sim}$
scợre of students' pre-test and post-test. Classroom observation was done in order
know the process of teaching conducted by using Flashcards. Data of students'
est was taken from two classes, experimental and control classes. Class VII E

research was to know whether there is a significant effect of using flashcards on stupdents' ability in using prepositions and to know on which kinds of preposition $\infty$
hatae the biggest effect.
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C.IThe Data Presentation

## 1. The Classroom Observation

Observation was conducted in order to know whether the media used had been applied as well as the procedure and to collect the data about the implementation of using flashcards. The writer had a list of observational items observed in experimental class during the teaching

|  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |


and learning process. It can be seen in the data presented below:

Table IV. 1
The Implementation of Flashcards about
The teacher keeps showing flashcards and repeating the word of preposition until the repeating the word of preposition until the
students know the difference among the preposition
After that, the teacher starts writing the words of preposition every time she shows the flashcards so that the students will also know how to spell the words
The teacher gives some exercises by showing flashcards for each preposition to be written by the students be discussed
The teacher shows a flashcard and asks the students some questions to make sure that居 the table
The teacher states one sentence about the picture on the flashcard and repeats it twice while the students listen carefully eacher asks the whole class to repeat and repeat the the The to all 1 teacher
The teacher then shows another flashcard with different picture which tells another preposition, for example, there are two books under the table
The teacher continues teaching the prepositions by showing the flashcards and asking the students what the picture tells

Based on table 4.1 the implementation of flashcards in the learning process there are several aspects that are not implemented, from the first, second and third meetings all aspects are implemented. While, in the fourth and sixth meetings there is one aspect that is not implemented. And at the fourth meeting there were two aspects that were not implemented.

## 2. Students' ability in using Preposition

The data of students ability in using English preposition was collected from the pre-test and post-test given to both control and experimental class.

## a) Students' ability in using Preposition of Control Class

The data of students' ability in using preposition of the control class were gotten from pre-test and post-test of VII E. The data can be seen from the table below:

Table IV. 2
The Score of Students' ability in using Preposition of Control Class


| Students | Score |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-Test | Category | Post-Test | Category |
| Student 1 | 55 | Good | 50 | Good |
| Student 2 | 55 | Good | 55 | Good |
| Student 3 | 40 | Good | 55 | Good |
| Student 4 | 40 | Good | 60 | Very good |
| Student 5 | 20 | Enough | 40 | Good |
| Student 6 | 50 | Good | 70 | Very good |
| Student 7 | 40 | Good | 55 | Good |
| Student 8 | 35 | Enough | 40 | Good |
| Student 9 | 40 | Good | 30 | Enough |
| Student 10 | 25 | Enough | 75 | Very good |
| Student 11 | 30 | Enough | 70 | Very good |
| Student 12 | 35 | Enough | 60 | Very good |
| Student 13 | 40 | Good | 80 | Excellent |
| Student 14 | 40 | Good | 80 | Excellent |
| Student 15 | 35 | Enough | 65 | Very good |
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| $\frac{\frac{T}{N u m b e r}}{\frac{\pi}{\pi}}$ | Students | Score |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre－Test | Category | Post－Test | Category |
| $\bigcirc 16$ | Student 16 | 35 | Enough | 55 | Good |
| 亏17 | Student 17 | 45 | Good | 45 | Good |
| －18 | Student 18 | 35 | Enough | 50 | Good |
| $3^{19}$ | Student 19 | 45 | Good | 65 | Very good |
| － 20 | Student 20 | 30 | Enough | 75 | Very good |
| 二21 | Student 21 | 35 | Enough | 60 | Very good |
| $\subset^{22}$ | Student 22 | 20 | Enough | 70 | Very good |
| $\overbrace{23}$ | Student 23 | 30 | Enough | 45 | Good |
| 24 | Student 24 | 25 | Enough | 50 | Good |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\llcorner }$ | Student 25 | 20 | Enough | 80 | Excellent |
| $\sim 26$ | Student 26 | 25 | Enough | 50 | Good |
| त127 | Student 27 | 45 | Good | 50 | Good |
| 刀28 | Student 28 | 15 | Bad | 55 | Good |
| －0 29 | Student 29 | 30 | Enough | 40 | Good |
| ᄃ | Total | 1015 |  | 1675 |  |
|  | Mean | 35 |  | 57.75 |  |

From the table IV．2，the researcher found that the total score of pre－test in control class was 1015 and the mean score was 35 ．The highest score was 55 and the lowest was 15 ．The total score of post－test in control class was 1675 and the mean score was 57.75 while the highest was 80 and the lowest was 30 ．

```
~~
Students' Classification Score of Pre－Test of Control Class
```

| No | Category | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { d } \\ 32 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 34 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Bad | 1 | 3.4 |
|  | Enough | 16 | 55.1 |
|  | Good | 12 | 41.3 |
|  | Very Good | 0 | 0 |
|  | Excellent | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 29 | 100.0 |  |

Based on table IV． 3 above，it can be seen that there was 1 student who got bad category（3．4\％）， 16 students who got enough category（55．1\％）， 12 students who got good category（41．3\％），no one
y!l!m efd!o yeH (2)
got very good and excellent category ( $0 \%$ ), and the total of students is 29.

Table IV. 4
Students' Classification Score of Post-Test of Control Class

| No | Category | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z | Bad | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | Enough | 1 | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}^{3}$ | Good | 15 | 51.7 |
| 재 | Very Good | 10 | 34.4 |
| 5 | Excellent | 3 | 10.3 |
| Total | 29 | 100.0 |  |
| ᄃ |  |  |  | student who got bad category ( $0 \%$ ), 1 students who got enough category (3.4\%), 15 students who got good category ( $51.7 \%$ ), 10 students who got Very Good category (34.4\%), and 3 students who got excellent category $(10.3 \%)$. The total of students is 29 .

Furthermore, the frequency score of pre-test and post-test in control class can be seen below:

Table IV. 5
Distribution of Frequency of Students'
Pre-Test Score of Control Class

| No | Score | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Phi$ | 15 | 1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| $\underline{2}$ | 20 | 3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 13.8 |
| 3 | 25 | 3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 24.1 |
| 4 | 30 | 4 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 37.9 |
| 5 | 35 | 6 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 58.6 |
| $\underline{6}$ | 40 | 6 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 79.3 |
| $\pm$ | 45 | 3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 89.7 |
| 8 | 50 | 1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 93.1 |
| 9 | 55 | 2 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 29 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
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## Histogram IV. 1 Frequency of Pre-Test ofControl Class



Based on the table IV. 5 and histogram IV. 1 above, it could be seen that there was 1 student who obtained 15 (3.4\%), 3 students who obtained 20 (10.3\%), 3 students who obtained 25 (10.3\%), 4 students who obtained $30(13.8 \%)$, 6 students who obtained 35 (20.7\%), 6 students who obtained 40 (20.7\%), 3 students who obtained 45 (10.3\%), 1 student who obtained 50 (3.4\%), 2 students who obtained 55 (6.9\%), and the total number of students was 29.

| IO亿O. | Table IV. 6 <br> Distribution of Frequency of Students' Post-Test Score of Control Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Score |  |  | Valid | Cumulative |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| 1 | 30 | 1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| $\overline{2}$ | 40 | 3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 13.8 |
| $\underline{5}$ | 45 | 2 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 20.7 |
| 7 | 50 | 5 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 37.9 |
| 50 | 55 | 5 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 55.2 |
| \% | 60 | 3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 65.5 |
| * | 65 | 2 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 72.4 |
| 8 | 70 | 3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 82.8 |
| 9. | 75 | 2 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 89.7 |
| P0 | 80 | 3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 100.0 |
|  | otal | 29 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Histogram IV. 2
Frequency of Post-Test of Control Class


Based on the table IV. 6 and histogram IV. 2 above,it could be seen that there was 1 student who obtained $30(3.4 \%), 3$ students who obtained 40 (10.3\%), 2 students who obtained 45 (6.9\%), 5 students who obtained 50 ( $17.2 \%$ ), 5 students who obtained 55 (17.2\%), 3 students who obtained 60 (10.3\%), 2 students who obtained 65 (6.9\%), 3 student
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y eysns NI Y y!l!mefd!o yeH () who obtained 70 ( $10.3 \%$ ), 2 students who obtained 75 ( $6.9 \%$ ), 3 students who obtained $80(10.3 \%)$ and the total number of students was 29 .

## b) Students ability in using Preposition of Experimen Class

The data of students' ability in using preposition of the experimental class were gotten from pre-test and post-test of VII F. The data can be seen from the table below:

Table IV. 7
The Score of the Students' ability in using Preposition of Experimental Class

| Number | Students |  | Score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-Test | Category | Post-Test | Category |
| 1 | Student 1 | 55 | Good | 60 | Very good |
| 2 | Student 2 | 60 | Very good | 55 | Good |
| 3 | Student 3 | 50 | Good | 55 | Good |
| 4 | Student 4 | 40 | Good | 55 | Good |
| 5 | Student 5 | 20 | Enough | 50 | Good |
| 6 | Student 6 | 45 | Good | 50 | Good |
| 7 | Student 7 | 50 | Good | 60 | Very good |
| 8 | Student 8 | 35 | Enough | 80 | Excellent |
| 9 | Student 9 | 50 | Good | 85 | Excellent |
| 10 | Student 10 | 20 | Enough | 75 | Very good |
| 11 | Student 11 | 30 | Enough | 65 | Very good |
| 12 | Student 12 | 40 | Good | 80 | Excellent |
| $\sim 13$ | Student 13 | 45 | Good | 75 | Very good |
| $\pm 14$ | Student 14 | 45 | Good | 70 | Very good |
| ¢15 | Student 15 | 35 | Enough | 55 | Good |
| ¢ 6 | Student 16 | 40 | Good | 70 | Very good |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{2} 17$ | Student 17 | 55 | Good | 65 | Very good |
| S 18 | Student 18 | 40 | Good | 65 | Very good |
| - | Student 19 | 40 | Good | 80 | Excellent |
| $\mathrm{C}^{20}$ | Student 20 | 30 | Enough | 70 | Very good |
| 321 | Student 21 | 40 | Good | 70 | Very good |
| $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | Student 22 | 25 | Enough | 80 | Excellent |
| ${ }_{4}^{2} 23$ | Student 23 | 25 | Enough | 60 | Very good |
| $\stackrel{\text { ®. }}{\sim}$ | Student 24 | 20 | Enough | 65 | Very good |
| - | Student 25 | 15 | Bad | 70 | Very good |
| $\bigcirc$ | Student 26 | 25 | Enough | 65 | Very good |
| $\sim^{27}$ | Student 27 | 35 | Enough | 75 | Very good |
| E28 | Student 28 | 20 | Enough | 60 | Very good |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{29}$ | Student 29 | 35 | Enough | 55 | Good |
| $\square$ | Total | 1065 |  | 1920 |  |
| $\infty$ | Mean | 36.72 |  | 66.20 |  |



Based on table IV. 8 above, it can be seen that there was 1 student who got bad category (3.4\%), 12 students who go enough category (41.3\%), 15 students who got good category ( $51.7 \%$ ), 1 students who got
 very good category ( $3.4 \%$ ), no one got excellent category ( $0 \%$ ), and the total of students is 29 .

Table IV. 9
Students' Classification Score of
Post-Test of Experiment Class

neị uisey f!ıeスs

Based on table IV. 9 above, it can be seen that there is no student who got bad category ( $0 \%$ ), no student who got enough category ( $0 \%$ ), 7 students who got good category ( $24.1 \%$ ), 17 students who got very good category (58.6\%), 5 students who got excellent category (17.2\%), and the total of students is 29 .

Table IV. 10
Distribution of Frequency of Students'
Pre-Test Score of Experiment Class
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Based on the table IV. 10 and histogram IV. 3 above, it could be seen that there was 1 student who obtained 15 (3.4\%), 4 students who obtained 20 (13.8\%), 3 students who obtained 25 (10.3\%), 2 students who obtained 30 ( $6.9 \%$ ), 4 students who obtained 35 (13.8\%), 6 students who obtained $40(20.7 \%), 3$ students who obtained 45 (10.3\%), 3 student who obtained $50(10.3 \%), 2$ students who obtained $55(6.9 \%), 1$ students who obtained $60(3.4 \%)$ and the total number of students was 29.

Table IV. 11
Distribution of Frequency of Students' Post-Test Score of Experiment Class

| No | Score | Frequency | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Valid } \\ \text { Percent } \end{gathered}$ | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 50 | 2 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| 2 | 55 | 5 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 24.1 |
| 3 | 60 | 4 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 37.9 |
| 4 | 65 | 5 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 55.2 |
| 5 | 70 | 5 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 72.4 |
| 6 | 75 | 3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 82.8 |
| 7 | 80 | 4 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 96.6 |
| 8 | 85 | 1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 |
| Total |  | 29 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| $\stackrel{+}{0}$ |  |  | ogram IV |  |  |

Frequency of Post-Test of Experiment Class
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Based on the table IV. 11 and histogram IV. 4 above, it could be seen ○ that there was 2 student who obtained 50 ( $6.9 \%$ ), 5 students who obtained 55 $\underset{\sim}{\square}(17.2 \%), 4$ students who obtained $60(13.8 \%), 5$ students who obtained 65 ( $17.2 \%$ ), 5 students who obtained 70 ( $17.2 \%$ ), 3 students who obtained 75 ( $10.3 \%$ ), 4 students who obtained 80 ( $13.8 \%$ ), 3 student who obtained 85 (3.4\%) and the total number of students was 29 .

## D ${ }^{\mathbb{Z}}$ The Data Analysis

$\frac{\pi}{\Omega}$ This part presents about analysis of data that had been collected from the research. The result of data analysis is used to answer the research questions.

## 1. Analysis of Classroom Observation

The result of classroom observation data can be seen below:

## Table IV. 12 <br> The Implementation of Flashcards

| No | The Implementation of Flashcards | Observation Times |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | The teacher introduces the material that will be discussed | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $V$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 | The teacher shows a flashcard and asks the students some questions to |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\underset{n}{E}$ | make sure that they know the object, for example, the flashcard shows that there are two books on the table |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The teacher states one sentence about the picture on the flashcard and repeats it twice while the students listen carefully | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 出 } \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | The teacher asks the whole class to repeat and then asks one of the students (randomly) to repeat the sentence. The purpose of this is to make sure that all students pay attention to the teacher |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sqrt[5]{5}$ | The teacher then shows another flashcard with different picture which tells another preposition, for | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |


|  | The Implementation of Flashcards | Observation Times |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 9 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $\bigcirc$ | example, there are two books under the table |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \bar{Z} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | The teacher continues teaching the prepositions by showing the | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 3. | flashcards and asking the students what the picture tells about |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 天 | The teacher keeps showing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\subset}{2}$ | flashcards and repeating the word of preposition until the students know | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ |
| \% | the difference among the preposition After that, the teacher starts writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | the words of preposition every time she shows the flashcards so that the |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 010. | students will also know how to spell the words |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{9}{\subset}$ | The teacher gives some exercises by showing flashcards for each preposition to be written by the students | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
|  | Total | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
|  | Percentage | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 88\% | 77\% | 88\% |

To get the percentage of the observation, the writer used the
formula discussed in chapter III. Then, the total percentage of all meetings are:

$$
\mathrm{P}=\frac{100 \%+100 \%+100 \%+88 \%+77 \%+88 \%}{6}=92 \%
$$

Thus, based on the category of the level of success in implementing learning process by Novia (2017) discussed in chapter III, it can be concluded that the level of success in implementing flashcards is very high.

## Analysis of the Effect of using Flashcards on students' ability in using

 PrepositionsBefore examining the effect of using flashcards on students' ability in using prepositions at Junior High School 10 Tapung, the researcher calculated the mean, standard deviation and standard error mean of
experimental and control class by using SPSS, can be seen in the following table:

Table IV. 13 Group Statistics
 score of control class was 57.7586 , and the standard deviation of experimental class was 9.87 , while standard deviation of control class was 13.40, the std. error of mean of experimental class was 1.83 , while the std. error of mean of control class was 2.48 . The second table determines the result of the independent sample test analysis. The table is as follows:

## $\infty$ $\stackrel{\infty}{2}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\omega$
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ne!y eysns NIn y!l!m efd!̣ yeH () Levene's Test to know the same variance. The hypotheses and testing criteria are below:

Ho: Variance Population identical
Ha: Variance Population not identical
If Probabilities $>0.05$, Ho is accepted If Probabilities $<0.05$, Ha is accepted

It can be seen that the sig. value of Levene's Test is 0.041 . It can be stated that $0.109>0.05$. It means Ho is accepted, so the variance of the population is identical. Then, to know whether there is or not the statistically difference, independent sample T-Test shows the $t$-test for Equality of means. The testing criteria and hypotheses are below:

If Probabilities $>0.05$, Ho is accepted
If Probabilities < 0.05, Ha is accepted
Ho: There is no statistically significant difference
Ha: There is statistically significant difference
From the output above, it can be seen that the sig. value is 0.008 . It can be stated that $0.008<0.05$. It means that null hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is rejected, while the alternative hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is accepted. Then, to identify the level of the effect of using flashcards in teaching English on students' ability in using prepositions at the Junior High School 10 Tapung, it was calculated by using eta squared formula:
$\eta^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{t}^{2}}{\mathrm{t}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}-2\right)}$
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$\eta^{2}=\frac{2.732^{2}}{2.732^{2}+(29+29-2)}$
$\eta^{2}=\frac{7.46}{7.46+56}$
$\eta^{2}=\frac{7.46}{63.46}=0.11$
Based on the result above, it was clear that the effect size was 0.11 . The guidelines for interpreting this value are (Cohen, et.al 2007):
0.01 : small effect
0.06 : moderate effect
0.14 : large effect

It means that the use of flashcards in teaching English has moderate effect on students' ability in using prepositions.

In conclusion, the use of flashcards in teaching English at the Junior High School 10 Tapung has good effect on students' ability in using
prepositions. Thus, there is significant effect of using flashcards in teaching English on students' ability in using prepositions at moderate level.

