## CHAPTER III

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

## A. Reseach Design

Kumar (2006:79) states that research methodology involves the systematic procedures by which the researcher starts from the initial identification of the problem to its final conclusions. In addition, according to Nunan (1992:232) research is a systematic process of inquiry consisting of three elements or components, they are: question, problem, hypothesis; data; analysis and interpretation of data.

This research was correlation research, As stated by Hartono (2008:75), correlation is relationship between two or more variables. The researcher used a questionnaire to measure the students' metacognitive knowledge as " X " variable and listening test to find out the listening comprehension which is symbolized as " $Y$ " variable.

## B. Time and Location of the Research

The research was conducted at Vocational High School Telkom Pekanbaru which is located at Melati-Esemka street 05 Pekanbaru. It was conducted on April 2017.

## C. Subject and Object of the Research

The subject of this research was eleventh grade students of Vocational High School Telkom Pekabaru. The object of this research was the correlation between students' metacognitive knowledge and their listening comprehension.

## D. Population and Sample of the research

## 1. Population

Sugiyono (2011:117) stated that population is the generalisation area which consists of object or subject that has sure quality and characteristic that is determined by the researcher to be learned and to be extracted the conclusion. According to Syafi'i (2015:108), population of the research refers to total number of subjects from which or whom you obtain the data. On the other hand, population is a group of subject who has similar characteristic. The population of this research is the students of SMK Telkom Pekanbaru at the eleventh grade which is 250 students in 7 majors.

Table III. 1

| Class | Major |  | Number of <br> population |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| XI | TKJ | 1 | 30 |
|  | 2 | 25 |  |
|  | TKR | 32 |  |
|  | TSM | 31 |  |
|  | AK/ADP | 30 |  |
|  | TELKOM | 38 |  |
|  | ELEKTRO | 34 |  |
|  | PH | 30 |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## 2. Sample

Sample refers to the population or subjects chosen or determined as the "sources of data or information" that the researcher needs in the research project. To determine sample of the research, the researcher used simple random sampling technique. It is simple because taking the sample
from the population was done by random without looking at the levels, Sugiyono (2014:120). According to Arikunto (2006:134), if population is less than 100 respondents, it is better to take all of. However, if population is more than 100 respondents, we can take $10 \%-15 \%$ or $20 \%-25 \%$ or more than. Therefore, the researcher took $15 \%$ as the sample. So, the sample of this research was 38 students.

## E. Technique of Collecting Data

The data of this research collected by using some techniques, they are as follows:

1. Questionnaire

It is a research instrument consisting of a series of questions to be answered by the respondents. It was to collect the data about students' metacognitive knowledge, which include planning, monitoring, problem solving, evaluating. The researcher gave a questionnaire to the students which contains 20 items. It was taken from Vandergrift, et al (2012: appendix 287)

Table III. 2
Blue Print of Metacognitive Knowledge

| Kinds of questions | Number items |
| :--- | :--- |
| Planning | $1,3,8,10,20$ |
| Monitoring | $2,6,12,16,17$ |
| Problem Solving | $5,7,9,13,19$ |
| Evaluating | $4,10,14,18,15$ |

2. Test

This method is used to find out the students' score in listening comprehension. Therefore, the researcher used test as an instrument. According to Brown (2003:3), test is a method of measuring a person's
ability, knowledge, or performance in a given domain. The researcher have given twenty questions to the students in a form of multiple choice.

Table III. 3
Blue Print of Listening Comprehension

| Kinds of the questions | Number of the questions |
| :--- | :--- |
| Understand some expressions in the conversation | $1,2,3,4,5$ |
| Respond some expressions in the conversation | $6,7,8,9,10$ |
| Understand the specific information in the short text | $11,12,13,14,15$, |
| Remember the information in the announcement | $16,17,18,19,20$ |

Arikunto (2009:245) stated the classification of the students' listening comprehension score can be seen in the following table:

Table III. 4
Classification of Students Score

| The score level | Category |
| :---: | :---: |
| $80-100$ | Very good |
| $66-79$ | Good |
| $56-65$ | Enough |
| $40-55$ | Less |
| $30-39$ | Fail |

## F. Validity and Reliability of Instrument

According to Vandergfrift (2012:256), validity refers to the extent to which a test assess what is proposes to assess. Validity is concerned with what a test measures and for whom it is appropriate. Thus, the validity of instrument is the device used to get the valid of data. Those means that the instrument can be used to measure what should to be measured.

## 1. Questionnaire

The questionnaire was taken from Vandergrift, et al (2012: appendix 287). According to Sugiyono (2009:349) it does not need to conduct validity and reliability test for a standardized instrument.

## 2. Test

## a. Test Validity

In this research, the researcher used content validity. According to Sugiyono (2014:182), testing validity of the test-shape instrument can be done by comparing the test with the lesson which was taught by the teacher in the class. Thus, the test was given based on material studied by the students. The material of the test was taken from the syllabus of the eleventh grade of the students at Vocational High School Telkom Pekanbaru.

Table III. 5
The Analysis of Listening Comprehension Test Validity

| Item <br> Number | r-item | r-table | result | $\begin{gathered} \text { Item } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | r-item | r-table | result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.45 | 0.32 | valid | 11 | 0.44 | 0.32 | valid |
| 2 | 0.44 | 0.32 | valid | 12 | 0.47 | 0.32 | valid |
| 3 | 0.44 | 0.32 | valid | 13 | 0.47 | 0.32 | valid |
| 4 | 0.47 | 0.32 | valid | 14 | 0.44 | 0.32 | valid |
| 5 | 0.45 | 0.32 | valid | 15 | 0.38 | 0.32 | valid |
| 6 | 0.37 | 0.32 | valid | 16 | 0.41 | 0.32 | valid |
| 7 | 0.45 | 0.32 | valid | 17 | 0.50 | 0.32 | valid |
| 8 | 0.44 | 0.32 | valid | 18 | 0.44 | 0.32 | valid |
| 9 | 0.35 | 0.32 | valid | 19 | 0.48 | 0.32 | valid |
| 10 | 0.52 | 0.32 | valid | 20 | 0.51 | 0.32 | valid |

From the table above, the test items were valid. Because of the items were valid, the researcher used the test to be examined to the sample of the research.
b. Test Reliability

Reliability is to measure the instrument that is used to collect the data. To know whether the test is reliable or not, the researcher
calculated the data obtained by using Statistical Product and Service Solution 23 service. The test reliability can be seen as follow:

Table III. 6
Reliability Statistic of Students' Listening Comprehension

| Cronbach's Alpha | N of Items |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.855 |

From the table above, it showed the reliability test in cronbach's alpha was 0.855 , the item was 20 . The coefficient reliability was in high level (0.80-0.90). The table of test reliability level was showed as follows:

Table III. 7 Test Reliability Level

| Cronbach Alpha | Internal Consistency |
| :---: | :---: |
| $>0.90$ | Very highly reliable |
| $0.80-0.90$ | Highly reliable |
| $0.70-0.79$ | Reliable |
| $0.60-0.69$ | Minimally reliable |
| $<0.60$ | Unacceptably low reliability |

## G. Technique of Analyzing Data

The researcher used data which was analyzed by statistical method. This score analyzed statistically. There is significant correlation or there is no significant correlation between two or more variables that can be analyzed by using product moment correlation SPSS version 23, because likert scale is an interval data, Sugiyono (2014:134). The formula was:
$\mathrm{Df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{nr}$
Where: $\mathrm{N}=$ Number of cases
$\mathrm{Nr}=$ Number of variable

Comparing $r_{o}$ ( $r$ observasi) with the $r_{t}(r$ table $)$ by determinate:

1. If $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}} \geq \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was accepted, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ was rejected.
2. If $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}<\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was accepted, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ was rejected.

In the following table was the category of correlation coefficient, Hartono (2008:87):

Table III. 8
Table of Interpretation Correlation Coefficient Product Moment

| R Product Moment | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0.00-0.200$ | Very Low |
| $0.200-0.400$ | Low |
| $0.400-0.700$ | Medium |
| $0.700-0.900$ | Strong |
| $0.900-1.000$ | Very Strong |

