## CHAPTER IV

## DATA PRESENTATION AND DATA ANALYSIS

## A. Data Presentation

The purposes of the research were to obtain students' reading interest taught by using pass the message game and students' reading interest taught without using pass the message game, and to find out the significant difference between using and without using pass the message game on students' reading interest. The data in this research were the students' score of pre and post questionnaire. The researcher gave pre questionnaire to the students before treatment and gave post questionnaire after treatment.

The total score of pre and post questionnaires of control and experimental groups were significantly different. The total score of pre questionnaire of control group was 1571 , while post questionnaire was 1512. The total score of pre questionnaire of experimental group was 1593 , while post questionnaire was 1814. The researcher collected the data through following the procedures:

1. At pre questionnaire, the students were asked to answer 20 items of questions about their interest in reading English.
2. The researcher used pass the message game in teaching English (experimental class)
3. The researcher gave post questionnaire to the students with the same indicators as pre questionnaire.

## 1. The Classroom Observation

Observation was used in order to know whether or not the game used had been applied as well as the procedure or not and to collect the data about the implementation of pass the message game. The writer had a list of observational item observed in experimental class during the teaching and learning process. It could be seen in the data presented below:

## Table IV. 1

The Description of the Implementation Pass the Message Game

|  |  | Observation Times |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | game | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | F Yes | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { F } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | \% |
|  | The teacher writes a short message on a slip of paper | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 100\% |
|  | The teacher shows the message to someone sitting at the front and to one side of the class. The teacher gives time to the students for five seconds to see the sentence, then the teacher takes it from them and keeps it. | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 100\% |
| 3. | The teacher asks the students to write the sentence as they remember it on | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 100\% |
|  | The teacher asks each learner writing down the message they remember | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 100\% |
|  | When the message has been passed through all the learners, the teacher asks the last one to read out what they have written down | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 100\% |
|  | The teacher reads out the message as it began | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 100\% |
|  | The teacher asks all the students, in turn, to read out the message they passed on. | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | 5 |  | 1 |  |
|  | The teacher discusses why each of the changes might have occurred. Are they changes which don't change the sense significantly? Are the changes due simply to grammar mistakes? |  | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | 6 |  | 0 | 100\% |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 92\% | 1 | 8\% |

The writer used the following formula to get the percentage of the observation (Sudijono, 2007: 43):

$$
P=\frac{F}{N} \times 100 \%
$$

Where: P : Percentage

$$
F: \text { Frequency of the score }
$$

## N : Number of Case

2. Data presentation of students' reading interest who are taught by using pass the message game

The data of the effect of using pass the message game on students' reading interest at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru were obtained from students' pre-questionnaire and post questionnaire scores in experimental class and control class consisting 20 items of questionnaires. The description of the data is as follows:

Table IV. 2
Students' Reading Interest Score in Experimental Class

| No | Respondent | Score |  | Gain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Post- <br> Questionnaire |  |  |
| 1 | Student 1 | 70 | 69 | -1 |
| 2 | Student 2 | 65 | 72 | 7 |
| 3 | Student 3 | 70 | 72 | 2 |
| 4 | Student 4 | 65 | 80 | 15 |
| 5 | Student 5 | 71 | 76 | 5 |
| 6 | Student 6 | 69 | 77 | 8 |
| 7 | Student 7 | 70 | 77 | 7 |
| 8 | Student 8 | 60 | 69 | 9 |
| 9 | Student 9 | 80 | 78 | -2 |
| 10 | Student 10 | 73 | 84 | 11 |
| 11 | Student 11 | 66 | 73 | 7 |


| No | Respondent | Score |  | Gain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre- <br> Questionnaire | Post- <br> Questionnaire |  |
| 12 | Student 12 | 65 | 75 | 10 |
| 13 | Student 13 | 71 | 76 | 5 |
| 14 | Student 14 | 65 | 68 | 3 |
| 15 | Student 15 | 66 | 83 | 17 |
| 16 | Student 16 | 71 | 76 | 5 |
| 17 | Student 17 | 62 | 74 | 12 |
| 18 | Student 18 | 66 | 78 | 12 |
| 19 | Student 19 | 62 | 74 | 12 |
| 20 | Student 20 | 57 | 75 | 18 |
| 21 | Student 21 | 56 | 76 | 20 |
| 22 | Student 22 | 63 | 76 | 13 |
| 23 | Student 23 | 64 | 80 | 16 |
| 24 | Student 24 | 66 | 76 | 10 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

From the table above, it can be seen that the calculation of total pre-questionnaire scores of experimental class was 1593 , the total postquestionnaire scores of experimental class was 1814 , and the total gain was 221 . The mean of pre-questionnaire scores was 66,38 , and the mean of post-questionnaire scores was 75,58 , and the means of gain scores was 9,21. It means that the students had significant increasing of reading interest. It was proven by the histogram, the total score and the score of frequency from pre-questionnaire and post questionnaire which were significantly different, it can be seen below:

Table IV. 3
Histogram for the score of pre-questionnaire Experimental class at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru


Based on the histogram above, it was found that the students who got score 56 was 1 respondent, the respondent who got score 57 was 1 respondent, the respondent who got score 60 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got score 62 were 2 respondents, the repondents who got score 63 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got score 64 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got score 65 were 4 respondents, the respondents who got score 66 were 4 respondents, the respondent who got score 69 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got score 70 were 3 respondents, the respondents who got score 71 were 3 respondents, the respondent who got score 73 was 1 respondent, the respondent who got score 80 was 1 respondent.

Table IV. 4
Frequency score of pre questionnaire experimental class

| Pretestexpe |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| 56,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 4,2 |
| 57,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 8,3 |
| 60,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 12,5 |
| 62,00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 20,8 |
| 63,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 25,0 |
| 64,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 29,2 |
| 65,00 | 4 | 16,7 | 16,7 | 45,8 |
| Valid 66,00 | 4 | 16,7 | 16,7 | 62,5 |
| 69,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 66,7 |
| 70,00 | 3 | 12,5 | 12,5 | 79,2 |
| 71,00 | 3 | 12,5 | 12,5 | 91,7 |
| 73,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 95,8 |
| 80,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 100,0 |
| Total | 24 | 100,0 | 100,0 |  |

Table IV. 5
Histogram for the score of post-questionnaire Experimental class at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Mutaaqin Pekanbaru


Based on the histogram above, we can see that the respondent who got score 68 was 1 respondent, the respondenst who got score 69 were 2 respondents, the respondents who got score 72 were 2 respondents, the respondents who got 73 were 1 respondent, the respondents who got 74 were 2 respondents, the respondents who got 75 were 2 respondents, the respondents who got 76 were 6 respondents, the respondents who got 77 were 2 respondents, the respondents who got 78 were 2 respondents, the respondents who got 80 were 2 respondents, the respondent who got 83 was 1 respondent, and the respondent who got 84 was 1 respondent.

## Table IV. 6 <br> Frequency score of post questionnaire Experimental class

| Posttestexpe |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
|  | 68,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 4,2 |
|  | 69,00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 12,5 |
|  | 72,00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 20,8 |
|  | 73,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 25,0 |
|  | 74,00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 33,3 |
|  | 75,00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 41,7 |
| Valid | 76,00 | 6 | 25,0 | 25,0 | 66,7 |
|  | 77,00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 75,0 |
|  | 78,00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 83,3 |
|  | 80,00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 91,7 |
|  | 83,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 95,8 |
|  | 84,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 100,0 |
|  | Total | 24 | 100,0 | 100,0 |  |

Besides, the mean and standard deviation were also needed in analyzing data gotten from the score of pre-questionnaire and postquestionnaire in determining the mean and standard deviation, the researcher used the software SPSS to calculate it. The mean and standard deviation of pre questionnaire and post-questionnaire are in the following table:

Table IV. 7
The Mean and Standard Deviation of Pre-Questionnaire and Post-Questionnaire of Experimental Class

|  | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-qustionnaire | 66.38 | 5.273 |
| Post-questionnaire | 75.58 | 3.977 |

3. Students' reading interest taught without using pass the message game

The data of reading interest taught without using pass the message game were also taken from pre-questionnaire and post-questionnaire, the data can be seen from the table below:

Table IV. 8
Students' Reading Interest Score in Control Class

| No | Respondent | Score |  | Gain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-Questionnaire | Post-Questionnaire |  |
| 1 | Student 1 | 60 | 60 | 0 |
| 2 | Student 2 | 68 | 60 | -8 |
| 3 | Student 3 | 66 | 59 | -7 |
| 4 | Student 4 | 70 | 60 | -10 |
| 5 | Student 5 | 58 | 59 | 1 |
| 6 | Student 6 | 66 | 60 | -6 |
| 7 | Student 7 | 67 | 66 | -1 |
| 8 | Student 8 | 71 | 66 | -5 |
| 9 | Student 9 | 60 | 60 | 0 |
| 10 | Student 10 | 66 | 66 | 0 |
| 11 | Student 11 | 58 | 60 | 2 |
| 12 | Student 12 | 67 | 72 | 5 |
| 13 | Student 13 | 55 | 58 | 3 |
| 14 | Student 14 | 65 | 59 | -6 |
| 15 | Student 15 | 59 | 67 | 8 |
| 16 | Student 16 | 62 | 60 | -2 |
| 17 | Student 17 | 74 | 60 | -14 |
| 18 | Student 18 | 64 | 71 | 7 |
| 19 | Student 19 | 70 | 69 | -1 |
| 20 | Student 20 | 73 | 71 | -2 |
| 21 | Student 21 | 68 | 70 | 2 |
| 22 | Student 22 | 71 | 59 | -12 |
| 23 | Student 23 | 70 | 60 | -10 |
| 24 | Student 24 | 63 | 60 | -3 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 5 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 9}$ |
|  | Mean | $\mathbf{6 5 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 4 6}$ |

From the table above, it can be seen that the calculation of total pre-questionnaire scores of control class was 1571, the total postquestionnaire scores of control class was 1512 , and the total gain was -59 . The mean of pre-questionnaire scores was 65.46, the mean of postquestionnaire scores was 63.00 , and the means of gain score was -2.46. It means that the students had little decreasing in their reading interest. It was proven by histogram, the total score and the score of frequency from pre-questionnaire and post-questionnaire which were significantly different can be seen below:

Table IV. 9
Histogram for the Score of Pre-questionnaire Control Class at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru


Based on histogram above, we can see that the respondent who got 55 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got 58 were 2 respondents, the respondent who got 59 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got 60 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got 62 was 1 respondent, the
respondent who got 63 was 1 respondent, the respondent who got 64 was 1 respondent, the respondent who got 65 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got 66 were 3 respondents, the respondents who got 67 were 2 respondents, the respondents who got 68 were 2 respondents, the respondents who got 70 were 3 respondents, the respondents who got 71 were 2 respondents, the respondent who got 73 was 1 respondent, and the respondent who got 74 was 1 respondent.

Table IV. 10
Frequency Score of Pre-Questionnaire Control Class
Pretestcontrol

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 55 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 4,2 |
|  | 58 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 12,5 |
|  | 59 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 16,7 |
|  | 60 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 20,8 |
|  | 62 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 25,0 |
|  | 63 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 29,2 |
|  | 64 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 37,5 |
|  | 65 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 41,7 |
|  | 66 | 3 | 12,5 | 12,5 | 54,2 |
|  | 67 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 62,5 |
|  | 68 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 70,8 |
|  | 70 | 3 | 12,5 | 12,5 | 83,3 |
|  | 71 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 91,7 |
|  | 73 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 95,8 |
|  | 74 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 100,0 |
|  | Total | 24 | 100,0 | 100,0 |  |

Table IV. 11
Histogram for the Score of Post-questionnaire Control Class at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru


Based on histogram above, we can see that the respondent who got score 58 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got score 59 was 4 respondent, the respondents who got score 60 were 10 respondents, the respondents who got score 66 was 3 respondents, the respondent who got score 67 was 1 respondent, the respondent who got score 69 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got score 70 was 1 respondent, the respondents who got score 71 were 2 respondents, and the respondent who got score 72 was 1 respondent.

Table IV. 12
Frequency Score of Post-Questionnaire Control Class

| Posttestcon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 58,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 4,2 |
|  | 59,00 | 4 | 16,7 | 16,7 | 20,8 |
|  | 60,00 | 10 | 41,7 | 41,7 | 62,5 |
|  | 66,00 | 3 | 12,5 | 12,5 | 75,0 |
|  | 67,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 79,2 |
|  | 69,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 83,3 |
|  | 70,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 87,5 |
|  | 71,00 | 2 | 8,3 | 8,3 | 95,8 |
|  | 72,00 | 1 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 100,0 |
|  | Total | 24 | 100,0 | 100,0 |  |

On the other hand, the mean and the standard deviation were needed in analyzing data gotten from the score of pre-questionnaire and postquestionnaire in determining the mean and standard deviation, the researcher used the software SPSS to calculate it. The mean and standard deviation of pre-questionnaire and post questionnaire are as follows:

Table IV. 13
The mean and and standard deviation of pre-questionnaire and post-questionnaire of control class

|  | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-qustionnaire | 66.46 | 5.167 |
| Post- |  |  |
| questionnaire | 63.00 | 4.737 |

4. The data presentation of the difference of the students' reading interest between those are taught and those who are not taught by using pass the message game

The following table is the description of pre-questionnaire and post-questionnaire of experimental class and control class.

Table IV. 14
Students' Pre-Questionnaire and Post-Questionnaire Score of Experimental Class and Control Class

| No | Students |  | Experimental Class |  | Control Class |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | pre-test | post-test | Gain | pre-test | post-test | gain |
| 2 | students 2 | 65 | 69 | -1 | 60 | 60 | 0 |
| 3 | students 3 | 70 | 72 | 7 | 68 | 60 | -8 |
| 4 | students 4 | 65 | 80 | 15 | 66 | 59 | -7 |
| 5 | students 5 | 71 | 76 | 5 | 58 | 60 | -10 |
| 6 | students 6 | 69 | 77 | 8 | 66 | 60 | -6 |
| 7 | students 7 | 70 | 77 | 7 | 67 | 66 | -1 |
| 8 | students 8 | 60 | 69 | 9 | 71 | 66 | -5 |
| 9 | students 9 | 80 | 78 | -2 | 60 | 60 | 0 |
| 10 | students 10 | 73 | 84 | 11 | 66 | 66 | 0 |
| 11 | students 11 | 66 | 73 | 7 | 58 | 60 | 2 |
| 12 | students 12 | 65 | 75 | 10 | 67 | 72 | 5 |
| 13 | students 13 | 71 | 76 | 5 | 55 | 58 | 3 |
| 14 | students 14 | 65 | 68 | 3 | 65 | 59 | -6 |
| 15 | students 15 | 66 | 83 | 17 | 59 | 67 | 8 |
| 16 | students 16 | 71 | 76 | 5 | 62 | 60 | -2 |
| 17 | students 17 | 62 | 74 | 12 | 74 | 60 | -14 |
| 18 | students 18 | 66 | 78 | 12 | 64 | 71 | 7 |
| 19 | students 19 | 62 | 74 | 12 | 70 | 69 | -1 |
| 20 | students 20 | 57 | 75 | 18 | 73 | 71 | -2 |
| 21 | students 21 | 56 | 76 | 20 | 68 | 70 | 2 |
| 22 | students 22 | 63 | 76 | 13 | 71 | 59 | -12 |
| 23 | students 23 | 64 | 80 | 16 | 70 | 60 | -10 |
| 24 | students 24 | 66 | 76 | 10 | 63 | 60 | -3 |
|  | Total | 1593 | 1814 | 221 | 1571 | 1512 | -59 |
|  | Mean | 66.38 | 75.58 | 9.21 | 65.46 | 63.00 | -2.46 |

Table IV. 15
The Classification of Experimental Class of the Eight Grade Students at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru

| No | Categories | Percentage | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Very low | $0 \%-20 \%$ | - |
| 2 | Low | $21 \%-40 \%$ | - |
| 3 | Enough | $41 \%-60 \%$ | - |
| 4 | Strong | $61 \%-80 \%$ | 22 |
| 5 | Very strong | $81 \%-100 \%$ | 2 |
|  | Total | - | 24 |

Based on the table above about the classification of experimental class of the Eight grade students at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru, the output from 24 students shows that the category of number 1 got the frequency 0 , the category of number 2 got the frequency 0 , the category of number 3 got the frequency 0 , the category of number 4 got the frequency 22, and the category of number 5 got the frequency 2 . It was found that the highest frequency of experimental class was 22 . Thus, the majority of the students in this experimental class are classified into strong category in students' reading interest.

Table IV. 16
The Classification of Control Class of the Eight Grade Students at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru

| No | Categories | Percentage | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Very low | $0 \%-20 \%$ | - |
| 2 | Low | $21 \%-40 \%$ | - |
| 3 | Enough | $41 \%-60 \%$ | 15 |
| 4 | Strong | $61 \%-80 \%$ | 9 |
| 5 | Very strong | $81 \%-100 \%$ | - |
|  | Total | - | 24 |

Based on the table above about the classification of control class of the Eight grade students at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru, the output from 24 students shows that the category of number 1 got the frequency 0 , the category of number 2 got the frequency 0 , the category of number 3 got the frequency 15 , the category of number 4 got the frequency 9 , and the category of number 5 got the frequency 0 . It was found that the highest frequency of control class was 15 . Thus, the majority of the students in this control class are classified into enough category in students' reading interest.

## B. Data Analysis

## 1) The Data Analysis of the Students' Reading Interest Taught by Using Pass the Message game of the Eighth Grade at Madrasah Tsanawiyah

## Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru

The following table is the data description of students' pre-test and post-test scores of experimental class. It was obtained from the result of their reading interest test. The data can be described as follows:

Table IV. 17
Students Pre-Test and Post-Test of Experimental Class
Statistics

|  | PreExperiment | PostExperiment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 24 |
| Missing | 0 | 24 |
| Mean | 66,3750 | 75,5833 |
| Std. Error of Mean | 1,07645 | , 81186 |
| Median | 66,0000 | 76,0000 |
| Mode | $65,00^{\text {a }}$ | 76,00 |
| Std. Deviation | 5,27350 | 3,97729 |
| Variance | 27,810 | 15,819 |
| Range | 24,00 | 16,00 |
| Minimum | 56,00 | 68,00 |
| Maximum | 80,00 | 84,00 |
| Sum | 1593,00 | 1814,00 |

From the table above, the researcher found that the students were 24 , the mean of pre test was 66.37 and the mean of post test was 75.58 . the Standard error of mean for pre test was 1.076 and for post test was 0.811 , the median for pre test was 66.00 and for post test was 76.00 , the mode for pre test was 65 and post test was 76 , the standard deviation for the pre test was 5.273 and for the post test was 3.977 , the variance for pre test was 27.810 and for post test was 15.819 , the range of pre test was 24 and for the post test was 16 , the minimum of the pre test was 56 and for the post test was 68 , maximum of pre test was 80 and for post test was 84 .

Table IV. 18
Students' Pre-Test and Post-Test Score of Experimental Class

| Valid of <br> pre-test | Frequency of <br> pre-test | Standard <br> graduated | Valid of <br> post-test | Frequency of <br> post-test | Standard <br> graduated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | 1 | Fail | 68 | 1 | Fail |
| 57 | 1 | Fail | 69 | 2 | Fail |
| 60 | 1 | Fail | 72 | 2 | Pass |
| 62 | 2 | Fail | 73 | 1 | Pass |
| 63 | 1 | Fail | 74 | 2 | Pass |
| 64 | 1 | Fail | 75 | 2 | Pass |
| 65 | 4 | Fail | 76 | 6 | Pass |
| 66 | 4 | Fail | 77 | 2 | Pass |
| 69 | 1 | Fail | 78 | 2 | Pass |
| 70 | 3 | Pass | 80 | 2 | Pass |
| 71 | 3 | Pass | 83 | 1 | Pass |
| 73 | 1 | Pass | 84 | 1 | Pass |
| 80 | 1 | Pass |  |  |  |

Based on the data obtained in pre-test of experimental class, there were 16 students who did not reach the standard passing grade (kkm) or score obtained < 70 , while there were 8 students who reached the standard passing grade (kkm) or the score obtained $>70$.

The researcher used the following formula to get the percentage of the students who reached and who did not reach the standard passing grade (kkm):

$$
P=\frac{F}{N} X 100 \%
$$

Where:
P : Percentage
F : Frequency
N : Number of Student
The percentage of students who did not reach the standard passing grade is as follows:

$$
\frac{16}{24} \times 100 \%=66.7 \%
$$

The percentage of student who reached the standard passing grade is as follows:

$$
\frac{8}{24} \times 100 \%=33.3 \%
$$

Based on data obtained in the post-test of experimental class there were 3 students who did not reach the standard passing grade or the score obtained $<70$, while there were 21 students who reached it or the score obtained $>70$.

The percentage of students who did not reach it is as follows:

$$
\frac{3}{24} \times 100 \%=12.5 \%
$$

The percentage of students reached it is as follows:

$$
\frac{21}{24} \times 100 \%=87.5 \%
$$

## 2) Data Analysis of the Students' Reading Interest Taught without using

 Pass the Message Game of the Eighth grade at Madrasah Tsanawiyah
## Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru .

The following table is the description of the data of the pre-test and post-test scores of control class. It was obtained from the result of the students' reading interest test. The data are described as follows:

Table IV. 19
Students Pre-Test and Post-Test of Control Class

| Statistics |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | PreControl | PostControl |
| N Valid | 24 | 24 |
|  | Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 65,4583 | 0 |
| Std. Error of Mean | 1,05463 | 63,0000 |
| Median | , 96684 |  |
| Mode | 66,0000 | 60,0000 |
| Std. Deviation | $66,00^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 60,00 |
| Variance | 5,16661 | 4,73654 |
| Range | 26,694 | 22,435 |
| Minimum | 19,00 | 14,00 |
| Maximum | 55,00 | 58,00 |
| Sum | 74,00 | 72,00 |

From the table above, it was found that the students were 24, mean of pre test was 65.458 and mean of post test was 63.000 . standard error of mean for pre test was 1.054 and for post test was 0.966 , median for pre test was 66.00 and for post test was 60 , mode for pre test was 66 and post test
was 60 , standard deviation for pre test was 5.166 and for post test was 4.736 , variance for pre test was 26.694 and for post test was 22.435 , range of pre test was 19 and for post test was 14 , minimum of pre test was 55 and for post test was 58, maximum for pre test was 74 and for post test was 72 .

Table IV. 20
Students' Pre-Test and Post-Test Score of Control Class

| Valid of <br> pre-test | Frequency of <br> pre-test | Standard <br> graduated | Valid of <br> post-test | Frequency <br> of post-test | Standard <br> graduated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | 1 | Fail | 58 | 1 | Fail |
| 58 | 2 | Fail | 59 | 4 | Fail |
| 59 | 1 | Fail | 60 | 10 | Fail |
| 60 | 2 | Fail | 66 | 3 | Fail |
| 62 | 1 | Fail | 67 | 1 | Fail |
| 63 | 1 | Fail | 69 | 1 | Fail |
| 64 | 1 | Fail | 70 | 1 | Pass |
| 65 | 1 | Fail | 71 | 2 | Pass |
| 66 | 3 | Fail | 72 | 1 | Pass |
| 67 | 2 | Fail |  |  |  |
| 68 | 2 | Fail |  |  |  |
| 70 | 3 | Pass |  |  |  |
| 71 | 2 | Pass |  |  |  |
| 73 | 1 | Pass |  |  |  |
| 74 | 1 | Pass |  |  |  |

Based on the data obtained in pre-test of control class, there were 17 students who did not reach the standard passing grade (kkm) or score obtained $<70$, while there were 7 students who reached the standard passing grade (kkm) or the score obtained $>70$.

The researcher used the following formula to get the percentage of the students who did not and who reached the standard passing grade (kkm):

$$
P=\frac{F}{N} X 100 \%
$$

Where:
P : Percentage
F : Frequency
N : Number of Student
The percentage of students who did not reach the standard passing grade is as follows:

$$
\frac{17}{24} \times 100 \%=71 \%
$$

The percentage of students who reached the standard passing grade is as follows:

$$
\frac{7}{24} \times 100 \%=29 \%
$$

Based on data obtained in the post-test of experimental class there were 20 students who did not pass or the score obtained $<70$, while there were 4 students who passed or the score obtained $>70$.

The percentage of students who did not pass is as follows:

$$
\frac{20}{24} \times 100 \%=83.3 \%
$$

The percentage of students who passed is as follows:

$$
\frac{4}{24} \times 100 \%=16.7 \%
$$

## 3) Data Analysis of the Effect of using Pass the Message Game on students' reading interest of the Eighth Grade at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al- <br> Muttaqin Pekanbaru.

To obtain the significant effect of using Pass the Message Game on students' reading interest of the eighth grade at Madrasah Tsanawiyah AlMuttaqin Pekanbaru, the data were obtained from the post test of control class and post test in experimental class. The data can be seen in the following table:

Table IV. 21
Data Analysis of Post-test Scores of Experimental and Control Group

One-Sample Statistics

|  | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PosttestControl | 24 | 63,0000 | 4,73654 | , 96684 |
| PosttestExperiment | 24 | 75,5833 | 3,97729 | , 81186 |

From the table above, it was found that they were 24 students in each of experimental and control class. Mean of experimental class was 75.58 , standard deviation was 3.977 and standard error mean was 0.811 . Whereas Mean of control class was 63.00 , standard deviation was 4.736, and standard error mean was 0.966 .

Table IV. 22
Data Analysis of Independent Sample T-test


From the table of independent samples test showed that the F was 4.104, sig was 0.049 , t-test result was 9.967 , df was 46 , significance was 0.000 , mean difference was 12.58333 , standard error was 1.26250 , lower difference interval was 10.04206, and upper difference interval was 15.12461 . By orientating the number of significance, if probably is $>0.05$, null hypothesis (Ho) is accepted. If probably is $<0.05$, alternative hypothesis (Ha) is accepted.

Thus, the researcher can conclude that Ha is accepted and Ho is rejected. In the other words, there is a significant difference of students' Reading Interest between those who were taught and those were not taught by using pass the message game of the Eighth Grade at Madrasah Tsanawiyah Al-Muttaqin Pekanbaru.

