

Hak Cipta Dilindungi Undang-Undang

I

ak

lik

Pengutipan hanya untuk kepentingan pendidikan, penelitian, penulisan karya ilmiah, penyusunan laporan, penulisan kritik atau tinjauan suatu masalah.

Pengutipan tidak merugikan kepentingan yang wajar UIN Suska Riau

Dilarang mengumumkan dan memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini dalam bentuk apapun tanpa izin UIN Suska Riau

ka

State Islamic University of Sultan S yarif Kasim Riau

## **CHAPTER III METHOD OF THE RESEARCH**

## Α. **The Research Design**

In conducting this research, the reseacher uses the experimental research. According to Creswell (2012) Experiment is you test an idea (or practice or procedure) to determine whether it influence an outcome or dependent variable. In this case, the reseacher was practice suggestopedia method to know whether it influence dependent variable or not.

The design of this research is quasi experimental research which consists of two variables; they are independent variable (X) refers to the use of suggestopedia method, and dependent variable (Y) refers to students speaking skill. Creswell (2008) stated that "in experiment, quasi experiment frequently used" in doing researching. The experimental research is identified by giving treatments to the sample as the independent variable. In conducting this research, the reseacherused two classes. The first class was used as control class which was taught without using suggestopedia method than the second class was used as experiment class which was taught by using suggestopedia method. In this research, the reseacher used control-group design. This kind of this research design is popular as quasi experiment. This quasi experimental design is focused on no equivalent control group design.

When conducting this research, two classes of the tenth grade students at Islamic Senior High School Kuala Cenaku Indragiri Hulu was a participated. First class was experiment class treats by using suggestopedia



Hak Cipta Dilindungi Undang-Undang

Dilarang mengutip

N

sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber

method, and the second class was control class treats without using suggestopedia method. In brief, the research is designed by the following table: milik

Table III.1 **Research Design** 

Class	Pre-test	Treatment	Post-test
Experiment	X1	Т	X2
Control	Y2		Y2

Where:

UIN

 $\frac{70}{10}$  X1 : The students' speaking skill before treatment of experimental class

Y2 : The students' speaking skill before treatment of control class

: Teaching English speaking skill taught by using Suggestopedia method Т

: Teaching English speaking skill taught without suggestopedia method

X2 : The students' speaking skill after treatment of experimental class

Y2 : The students' speaking skill after treatment of control class

After giving particular treatment to the experimental group by using State suggestopedia method, the scores between experimental and control groups are analysed by statistical analyze. It aimed to know whether there is or not the significant difference of using suggestopedia method in students' speaking skill.

## The Location and the Time of the Research B.

The research was conducted at Islamic Senior High School Hidayatullah, located in Kuala Cenaku Indragiri Hulu. This research was done arif Kasim Riau in August 2018.



## $\mathbf{C}$ **Subject and Object of the Research**

The subject of this research is the tenth grade students at Islamic Senior High School Hidayatullah Kuala Cenaku. While the object of this milik research was using suggestopedia method in teaching English speaking skill for the tenth grade students.

## D? The Population and Sample of the Research

## ka 1. The population of the Research

The population of this research was all the tenth grade students at Islamic Senior High School Hidayatullah Kuala Cenaku Indragiri Hulu. The population was divided into two classes. The table below shows all the population.

Table III. 2
The Population of the Tenth Grade Students at Islamic Senior
High School Hidayatullah Kuala Cenaku Indragiri Hulu

No	Populatin	
No —	Class	Students
1	X 1	30 students
2	X 2	30 students
	Total	60 students

Based on the table III 2 above, it can be seen that there are thirty students for each class, and the total of population is sixty students. The reseacher took two classes as sample of the research.

## State Islamic University of Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau The Sample of the Research 2.

In this research, the reseacher took two classes as sample. One class was for experiment and another class was for control. The reseacher were used cluster random sampling technique in choosing the sample.

Hak Cipta Dilindungi Undang-Undang Dilarang mengutip Pengutipan hanya untuk kepentingan pendidikan, sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber



Hak Cipta Dilindungi Undang-Undang

X

Dilarang mengutip sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber

Pengutipan hanya untuk kepentingan pendidikan, penelitian, penulisan karya ilmiah, penyusunan laporan, penulisan kritik atau tinjauan suatu masalah.

Pengutipan tidak merugikan kepentingan yang wajar UIN Suska Riau

Dilarang mengumumkan dan memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini dalam bentuk apapun tanpa izin UIN Suska Riau

milik ka

Sta

According to Gay (2000) cluster random sampling selected group have similar characteristics. It means that the sample is chosen in group not individually. Hence, the group has the same ability. After doing the cluster random sampling, the researcher then was used class XA as experimental class and XA as control class. The total sample was 60 students. The specification of the sample can be seen in the table below:

Table III.3		
The Sample of	of the Research	
_		
	Sample	
Class	Students	

	Class	Students
1	X 1	30 students
2	X 2	30 students
67	Total	60 students

Based on the table III.3 above, it can be seen that there are thirty students for each class. Then, these two classes were used as the sample of this research.

## E. The Technique of collecting Data

M

In collecting the data, the reseacher were used test as the technique of collecting data. This method was used to find out how is the students' skill after being treated with suggestopedia method for experimental class, and control class without treatment.

**§**1. Test

Syarif Kasim Riau

The test is distributed to measure the students' speaking skill. The test is divided into two tests. They are pre-test that is given before the treatment, and post-test are given after doing the treatment. The type of the



0 0

Pengutipan tidak merugikan kepentingan yang wajar UIN Suska Riau

Dilarang mengumumkan dan memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini dalam bentuk apapun tanpa izin UIN Suska Riau

Hak

milik

VID

ka

Dilarang mengutip sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber

. Pengutipan hanya untuk kepentingan pendidikan, penelitian, penulisan karya ilmiah, penyusunan laporan, penulisan kritik atau tinjauan suatu masalah.

State Islamic University of Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau

test is oral test. Students' are ask to practice speaking in pairs and the teacher was gives a topic about Expressing of opinion (Asking and giving opinion). Before students practice, the teacher divided difference pictures to each pairs. Then each pairs of students' speak in front of the classroom. The result of the post test was analyzed as the final data of the research.

There are five categories that must be scored Hughes (2003); they are accent, grammar, vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension. So, this research used this technique in assessing the students' speaking skill. The scoring rubric is shown below:

	Table III.4	
The Scoring Rubric	of Students' Speaking Performance	
, a		_

-	Aspect	Score	Description
-	Accent	6	Native pronunciation, with to trace of "foreign accents"
		5	No conspicuous mispronunciations, but would not
<b>C</b> + <b>2</b>		3	be taken for a native speaker "Foreign Accent" requires concentrated listening, and mispronunciations lead to occasional
to To			misunderstanding and apparent errors in grammar or vocabulary
amic		2	Frequent gross errors and a very heavy accent make understanding difficult, require frequent repetition
-		1	Pronunciation frequently unintelligible
V	Grammar	6	No more than two errors during the interview
10		5	Few errors, with nopatterns of failure
1+17		4	Occasional errors showing imperfect control of
of c			some patterns but no weakness that causes misunderstanding
+		3	Frequent erroers showing some major patterns uncontrolled and causing occasional irritation and
3			misunderstanding
5		2	Constant errors showing showing control of very
fire			few major patterns and frequently prevering communication
Z		1	Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in



Hak Cipta Dilindungi Undang-Undang

Dilarang mengutip sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber-

Riau

- N Dilarang mengumumkan dan memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini dalam bentuk apapun tanpa izin UIN Suska Riau

Aspect	Score	Description
		stock phrases
Vocabulary	6	Vocabulary apparently as accurate and extensive as
		that of an educated native speaker
	5	Professional vocabulary broad and precise; genera
		vocabulary adequate to cope with complex
		practical problems and varied social situations.
	4	Professional vocabulaty adequate to discuss specia
	I	interests; general vocabulary permits discussion o
		any non-technical subject with some
		circumlocutions.
	3	Chose of words sometimes inaccurate, limitation
	3	
		of vocabulary prevent discussion of some common
	2	professional and social topics.
	2	Vocabulary limited to basic petsonal and surviva
	1	areas (time, food, transportation, family, etc)
	1	Vocabulary inadequate for even the simples
		conversation.
Fluency	6	Speech on all professional and general topics as
		effortless and smooth as a native speaker's.
	5	Speech is effortless and smooth, but perceptively
		non-native in speed and evenness.
	4	Speech is occasionally hesitant, with some
		unevenness caused by rephrasing and groping for
		words.
	3	Speech is frequently hesistant and jerky;nsentences
		may be left uncompleted.
	2	Speech is very slow and uneven except for short of
		routine sentences.
	1	Speech is to halting and fragmentary that
		conversation is verticually imposable
Comprehension	6	Understand everything on both formal and
1		colloquial speech to be expectedd ef and educated
		native speaker
	5	Understand everything in normal educated
		conversation except for very colloquial or lo
4		frequency items, or exceptionally rapid or slurred
		speech
	4	Undesstand quite normal educated speech when
	I	engaged in dialogue, but requires occasiona
		repetition and srephrasing
	3	<del>-</del>
	Understand cereful, somewhat simplified speech	
		when engaged in a dialogue, but may require
	0	considerable repetition and rephrasing
	2	Understand only slow, very simple specch or common social and touristic; requires constan



Dilarang mengutip Pengutipan hanya untuk kepentingan pendidikan, penelitian, penulisan

# **State Islamic Univers** G. Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau

Hak Cipta Dilindungi Undang-Undang

ka

sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber

karya ilmiah, penyusunan laporan, penulisan kritik atau tinjauan suatu masalah.

Aspect	Score	Description		
	1	repetition and rephrasing Understand too little for the simplest type of conversation		

## The Technique of Data Analysis F.

UIN In order to find out whether there is significance different of using suggestopedia method in students' speaking skill. The data of the research was analyzed statistically. To analyze the data, the reseacher was use score of post-test of experimental and control groups. These scores was analyse by using T-test (independent sample t-test). According to Gay (2000) Independent sample T-test is two samples that are randomly formed. It means that independent sample T-test was used to compare Mean of post-test between the two classes (experimental and control). The data were analyzed by using SPSS 19.0 program.

The t-test are employed to see whether or not there is significant difference between the mean score in both experimental and control groups.

Statistically the hypothesis is:

Ho = to< t-table

Ha = to> t-table

## The Validity and Reliability

## Validity 1.

According to Brown (2003), a test is a method of measuring a person's ability, knowledge, or performance in a given domain. According to Hughes (2005), a test is said to be valid if it measures



Hak Cipta Dilindungi Undang-Undang

7

milik UIN

ka

State Islamic University of Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau

Dilarang mengutip

N

Dilarang mengumumkan dan memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini dalam bentuk apapun tanpa izin UIN Suska Riau

sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber penelitian, penulisan karya ilmiah, penyusunan laporan, penulisan kritik atau tinjauan suatu masalah.

മ Pengutipan hanya untuk kepentingan pendidikan,

42

accurately what it is intended to measure. Based on some experts above, the researcher condcludes that a test can be said valid if it is really measured person's ability appropriately. Furthermore, Gay states that there are three kinds of validity. They are content validity, criterionrelated validity, and construct validity.

In this research, the researcher used content validity. Brown (2003) states that content validity is if a test actually samples the subject matter about which conclusions are to be drawn, and if it requires the test take to perform the behavior that is being measured. In other words, the test was given to the students based on the material that they have learned.

## 2. **Reliability**

According to Brown (2003), reliability is the measuring of the test that is consistent and dependable. It means, that the test should consistently measure the person's skill. Furthermore, Brown states that there are two scoring processes in reliability. They are interrater reliability and intra-reter reliability. Intra-reter reliability occurs when two or more scores yield inconsistent scores of the same test. Intrareter reliability is a common occurrences for classroom teachers because of the unclear scoring criteria, bias toward particular 'good' and 'bad' students, or simple carelessness.

In this research, the researcher used inter-reter reliability. It means that the scores of the test were evaluated more than one person. The students' speaking score were evaluated by two reters, the two reters have evaluated the students' speaking skill through the available record of students to know whether the test is reliable.