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#### Abstract

ABSTRAK

\section*{RIANI SITORUS (2012): Dampak dari Penggunaan Strategi Word} Splash terhadap Pemahaman Bacaan Siswa Tahun Kedua di SMPN 5 Bagan Sinembah

Berdasarkan studi pendahuluan penulis, ditemukan bahwa, siswa belum mampu memahami sebuah bacaan dalam buku pelajaran di sekolah. Masalah ini disebabkan oleh beberapa faktor. Misalnya, sebagian siswa kurang memahami tentang isi dari teks bacaan dan tidak bisa mengidentifikasi informasi dari teks bacaan. Jadi, penulis tertarik mengadakan penelitian tentang masalah tersebut. Tujuan utama dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menemukan pengaruh penggunaan strategi Word Splash terhadap pemahaman bacaan siswa.

Penelitian ini diadakan di SMPN 5 Bagan Sinembah. Subjek dari penelitian ini adalah siswa tahun kedua SMPN 5 Bagan Sinembah, dan objek dari penelitian ini adalah dampak dari penggunaan strategi Word Splash. Adapun jenis penelitiannya adalah penelitian semu.

Populasi dari penelitian ini adalah seluruh siswa tahun kedua. Keseluruhan dari jumlah populasi adalah 75 siswa. Peneliti menggunakan Cluster sample yang hanya mengambil dua kelas sebagai sampel: kelas VIII. 2 yang terdiri dari 25 siswa sebagai kelompok experimen, dan kelas VIII. 3 yang terdiri dari 25 siswa sebagai kelompok kontrol. Jadi, jumlah sampel dari dua kelas tersebut adalah 50 siswa. Untuk data analisisnya, peneliti menggunakan formula independent-sample T-test melalui SPSS.

Setelah data dianalisis, peneliti menemukan pengaruh yang signifikan dari strategi Word Splash untuk meningkatkan pemahaman bacaan siswa tahun kedua SMPN 5 Bagan Sinembah, dimana $t_{0} 6.211$ lebih tinggi dari pada T-table baik pada $5 \%=2.01$ maupun pada $1 \%=2.68$. Artinya $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ diterima dan $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ditolak. Jadi, dapat di ketahui bahwa strategi Word Splash adalah strategi yang efektif untuk mengajarkan skill reading, khususnya untuk siswa tahun kedua di SMPN 5 Bagan Sinembah.


#### Abstract

RIANI SITORUS (2012): The Effect of Using Word Splash Strategy toward Reading Comprehension of the Second Year Students at State Junior High School 5 Bagan Sinembah

Based on the writer's preliminary study, it was found that the students could not comprehend the meaning of texts in their text book at the school. This problem was caused by some factors. For example, some students could not understand about the content of reading text and identify the detailed information of the text. So, the writer was interested in carrying out the research about this problem. The main purpose of this research is to find out the significant effect of using Word Splash strategy toward students' reading comprehension.

The research was administered at State Junior High School 5 Bagan Sinembah. The subject of the research was the second year students of State Junior High School 5 Bagan Sinembah, and the object of this research was the effect of using Word Splash Strategy. The design of this research was quasiexperimental design, non-equivalent control group design.

The population of this research was all of the second year students. The total number of population was 75 students. The researcher used cluster sampling by taking two groups only as sample; group VIII.2, consisted of 25 students as experimental group, and group VIII.3, consisted of 25 students as control group, so the number of sample from two groups was 50 students. To analyze the data, the researcher adopted independent-sample T-test formula by using SPSS 17.0.

After analyzing the data, the researcher found that there is significant Effect of Using Word Splash Strategy on Reading Comprehension of the Second Year students at State Junior High School 5 Bagan Sinembah. It can be seen from $t_{0}$ 6.211 is higher than T-table either at $5 \%=2.01$ and $1 \%=2.68$. Its mean $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is accepted and Ho is rejected. So, it can be known that Word Splash strategy is an effective strategy to teach reading skill, especially for the second year students of state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah


Word Splash
بالمدرسة الإعدادية الحكومية 5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { سينمبه. } \\
& \text { كثف الباحث أن الطلاب لا يفهون معاني النصوص في كتبهم بناء على الاراسد } \\
& \text { الأولية التي أداها الباحث، ونأتّي هذه المشكلات ببعض العوامل منها: } \\
& \text { يفهون محتويات النصوص و لا يقرون على تعيين المعلومات الخاصة من } \\
& \text { رغب الباحث في أداء ها البحث. } \\
& \text { انعقد هذا البحث بالمدرسة الإعدادية الحكومية } 5 \text { كن سينمبه. الهـف لهذا البحث } \\
& \text { طلبة الصف الأول بالمدرسة الإعدادية الحكومية } 5 \text { كن سينمبه بييما الهـف في هذا البحث } \\
& \text {.Word Splash } \\
& 75 \\
& \text { عينة في أَخذ الفصلين لُينات هذا البحث هما طلاب الصف الـ } \\
& 25 \\
& \text { مجموع العينات في هذا البحث بقدر } 50 \text {. في تحليل البيانات استخدمت الباحثة أنوفاس } \\
& \text { استنبط الباحث مبنيا على تحليل البيانات أن هناك اثرا هاما من أثر استخدام } \\
& \text { Word Splash } \\
& 5 \text { باكن سينمبه. } 5 \text { (0.05) S } \alpha>\text { ( } 0.000 \text { ) s value } 6.211 \quad t_{0} \text { لذلك كانت الفرضبة } \\
& \text { الصفرية مرفوضة و الفرضية البديلة مقبولة }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

## A. Background of the Problem

Reading is one of the activities done by students in language class and it is one of the language skills that should be mastered by students. With reading, students can get a lot of information from many sources. Students read to gather information and to collect data ${ }^{1}$. According to David Nunan, "reading is a fluent process of readers combining information from a text". ${ }^{2}$ Reading cannot be understood without grammar or vocabulary as well as, especially for the students in Junior High schools, event student researchers. The important point after reading is a comprehension. From the text read, the readers should know what the kind of texts, settings, events, problems, and resolution.

As one of the language skills, reading has important roles as if house commodities that are consumed by all people, especially literate society. Patel and Praveen said that reading is an important activity in life with which one can update his/her knowledge. Reading is most useful and important skill for people ${ }^{3}$. In English teaching learning process in Indonesian Schools, especially in every educational level, reading is categorized as one of the language skills that should

[^0]be done or practiced. Kalayo Hasibuan said that instruction in reading and reading practice thus become essential parts of language teaching at every level ${ }^{4}$

State Junior High School (SMPN) 5 Bagan Sinembah is one of the SMPN schools in Bagan Sinembah, Rokan Hilir. This school provides English subject for students. There are four English skill (reading, listening, speaking and writing) that should be mastered by the students and this research focuses on reading skill. English curriculum (KTSP) provides reading as one of the skills in language that should be mastered by the students. The standard competence stated based on the syllabus of SPM N 5 Bagan Sinembah for second year is to comprehend the meaning of short functional texts and essays in the form of recount text and narrative text in the context of daily life activities and to access knowledge ${ }^{5}$. This research focuses on recount text. The passing score (KKM) of English subject in SPM N 5 is 60 .

Based on the writer' preliminary study in SMPN 5 Bagan Sinembah, English is taught two meetings in a week. First meeting is two ours and second meeting is three hours, every hour is 45 minutes. Based on the quotation above, English was taught maximally, especially in reading skill but some of the students still get problems and difficulties in reading skill. Their reading comprehention is still far from the expectation of curriculum. The difficulties can be seen from the following phenomena:

[^1]1. Some of the students are not able to comprehend the reading text.
2. Some of the students get difficulties to find the main idea from the text.
3. Some of the students are not able to answer the questions from the reading text.
4. Some of the students do not have enough vocabularies to comprehend the text.
5. Some of the students are not able to identify referentof the text

Related to the students' reading comprehention problem, it needs an appropriate technique or strategy. There is a strategy that can help students solve their problems of reading comprehension. It is called word splash strategy.

Word Splash strategy is one of reading strategies. Word splash strategy works especially well with non-fiction text ${ }^{6}$. Word Splash helps students access prior knowledge, build meaning for the words related to the concept, and find repetition of key ideas important to the new unit of study ${ }^{7}$. Where Activation of prior knowledge makes up a great amount of the process of reading comprehension ${ }^{8}$.

[^2]Therefore, the writer is interested to carry out the research entitled: "The Effect of Using Word Splash Strategy toward Reading Comprehension of the

## Second Year Students at SMP N 5 Bagan Sinembah"

## B. The Definition of the Term

## 1. Word Splash Strategy

Word Splash strategy is one of the reading strategies. Word splash strategy allows students to access prior knowledge of the topic by introducing key vocabulary and then students make predictions based on what they know from the introduced vocabulary ${ }^{9}$.
2. Reading Comprehension
a. Reading is an active process, where the (during which) readers must work with the text in an effort to explore and construct meaning ${ }^{10}$
b. Comprehension is referring to the ability to understand and interpret spoken and written language ${ }^{11}$.
c. Reading comprehension can be defined as an active thinking process through which a reader intentionally constructs meaning to form a deeper understanding of concepts and information presented in a text ${ }^{12}$.

[^3]
## 3. Recount Text

Recount text is one of non-fiction texts. Recount is a text which retells events or experiences in the past ${ }^{13}$. Its purpose is either to inform or to entertain the audience.

## C. The Problem

## 1. The Identification of the Problem

Based on the problems above, the writer identifies the problems as follows:
a. The students' reading comprehension in recount text taught without using word splash strategy
b. The students' reading comprehension in Recount text taught by using word splash strategy
c. There is any significant effect of students' reading comprehention in recount text taught by using word splash strategy
d. The students' difficulties in finding the main idea from the text
e. The students' vocabularies mastery in comprehending the reading text
f. Factors make the students are not able to comprehend the reading text
g. Factors make the students are not able to answer the questions from the reading text
h. Some of the students not able to identify referent of the text

[^4]
## 2. The Limitation of the Problem

The writer has limitation in doing this research, such as: limited time, finance, the writer's ability, because of that the writer was not able to research all problems that identificated in identification of the problem. So, the problems of this research are limited to the effect of using the Word Splash strategy toward reading comprehension of the second year students at State Junior High School 5 Bagan sinembah, and text is used in this research was recount text.

## 3. The Formulation of the Problem

The problems of this research are formulated in the following questions:
a. How is the students' reading comprehension in recount text taught without using word splash strategy?
b. How is the students' reading comprehension in Recount text taught by using word splash strategy?
c. Is there any significant effect of students' reading comprehention in recount text taught by using word splash strategy?

## D. The Objectives and the Significance of the Research

## 1. The Objectives of the Research

a. To find out the students' reading comprehension in recount text taught without using Word Splash strategy
b. To find out the students' reading comprehension in recount text taught by using the Word Splash strategy.
c. To find out the significant effect of the students' reading comprehension in recount text taught by using the Word Splash strategy?
2. The Significance of the Research

The research activity is significantly carried out for the following needs. They are:
a. To give some information to the teacher and school about the effect of using the Word Splash strategy toward students' reading comprehension in recount text.
d. To give some contributions to the students in order to improve the students' reading comprehension in recount text.
e. To enhance the writer's knowledge about teaching reading by using the Word Splash strategy.
f. To fulfill one of the requirements to finish the writer's study in English Education Department of State Islamic University of Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

## A. Theoretical Framework

## 1. The Nature of Reading

Reading is one of the English skills which must be mastered by the students. This skill needs more attention from students, because in reading the students must have good interaction with the text in order to get the meaning of text. The purpose of reading is to connect the ideas on the page to what the reader already knows. According to Patel, reading is most useful and important skill for people. This skill is more important than speaking and writing because reading is not only a source of information and a pleasurable activity but also as a mean of consolidating and extending one's knowledge of the language ${ }^{1}$.

Reading is a way to get information and knowledge. It is an activity that always done in daily life. Reading books, magazines and newspapers is a daily habit especially in literate society. Reading can be easier done if it done as a pleasure activity. It is supported by Beatrice, she explained that reading for pleasure can improve vocabulary, increase reading speed, improve reading comprehension, and give us a chance to gain more knowledge ${ }^{2}$. To get information from the written language, we need to find the meaning of it well. Background knowledge is needed in

[^5]finding meaning of the written language. Neil Anderson said that: "Reading is a fluent process of readers combining information from a text and their own background knowledge to build meaning" ${ }^{3}$.

Reading is an activity that involves greater levels of concentration and adds to the conversational skills of the reader. It is an indulgence that enhances the knowledge acquired, consistently. The reading also helps readers to decipher new words and phrases that they come across in everyday conversations. According to Danny Brassell and Timothy Rasinski, reading refers to the ability to comprehend or make meaning from a written text ${ }^{4}$. In addition, reading is an active and complex process which draws on the application of a number of skills and knowledge about language and print ${ }^{5}$.

Reading is an interactive process. In reading process, the reader should be able to interactive with the text well. Good interaction between the readers and the text is one way to find out the meaning of the text. Reading can be seen as an "interactive" process between a reader and a text which leads to automaticity or (reading fluency). Hesham Suleiman

[^6]Alyousef said that in reading process, the reader interacts dynamically with the text as he/she tries to elicit the meaning of the text ${ }^{6}$.

Based on explanations above, the writer concludes that reading is an activity with many purposes, such as: to get information or verify existing knowledge, for enjoyment, for entertain, etch. There are many manners to get the purpose of reading.
a. Teaching and Learning Reading

Reading has many advantages, such as: getting new information, getting / increasing knowledge, getting entertainment, getting enjoyment, adding new vocabulary, etc. All can be gotten if some one has reading ability and also comprehension ability of the meaning of the text its self.

To get reading ability needs some processes. Reading is not done automatically. This idea is supported by Judy Willis, he said that reading is not a natural part of human development ${ }^{7}$. The reading processes are: (1) the eye context to the reading text should be done by the readers (students), because reading is an intensive process in which the eye quickly moves to assimilate text ${ }^{8}$ (2) the readers/students should understand the word in reading text (3) utter

[^7]the words/sentences with feeling and stressing the right word or phrase so that a sentence sounds natural ${ }^{9}$. After reading the text, the next step is comprehending the reading text. To comprehend the reading text is not an easy thing. The reader needs background knowledge, skills and strategies to comprehend the reading text.

In learning English, reading is one important skill that should be mastered by the students. So, the students should be able to comprehend the text in order to get information from the text. Therefore the teacher's role is important in improving the students' ability in reading. Based on Harmer there are some reasons why teacher teaches reading, they are ${ }^{10}$ :

1 many of students want to be able to read texts in English either careers, for study purpose or simply for pleasure
2 some of the language sticks in their text is especially interesting and engaging, acquisition is likely to be even more successfully
3 Reading texts also provide opportunities to study language (vocabulary, grammar, punctuation, and the way we construct sentences, paragraphs and texts)
4 Lastly, good reading texts can introduce interesting topics, stimulate discussion, excite imaginative responses and be the springboard for well-rounded, fascinating lessons.

Besides, the students can use strategy that will help them become better readers. These strategies are:

[^8]1. Skimming

Skimming is a form of rapid reading for finding the general idea or gist of a passage or a book. In your daily and academic life, you probably skim many things: movie reviews, newspaper articles, and passages and websites that might be useful for a research paper ${ }^{11}$. By using skimming the students can obtain main ideas and discourse topic quickly and efficiently, establish quickly the structure of a text, decide the relevance of a text to their needs ${ }^{12}$.
2. Scanning

Scanning is quickly reading to find specific information ${ }^{13}$. In scanning the students (readers) let their eyes wander over the text they looking for. To scan effectively, the students should know what kind of information that they need and also they should have strong belief where they will find the information from the text.

[^9]In teaching reading, Harmer also said there are some principles that should be concerned by teacher, they are:

1. Reading is not a passive skill

In reading there are some activities that interact each other (the reader and the text) thus activities are: understand what words mean, see the pictures the words are painting, understand the arguments, and work out if we agree of them
2. Students need to be engaged with what they are reading The teacher should choose the interesting topic for the students in order that the students can get much more from what is in front of them
3. Students should be encouraged to respond to the content of a reading text, not just to the language

Its mean that the teacher should give the students a chance to respond about the content or the message of the text and how their feelings about the text.
4. Prediction is a major factor in reading

The teacher should give students the hints / book cover, headline, the word processed page. So that they can predict what will be discussed and it will make them better and more engaged reader
5. Match the task to the topic

The teacher not only should choose good reading topic but also the teacher should choose the good reading task - the right kind of questions, engaging and useful puzzle.
6. Good teachers exploit reading texts to the full Good teachers integrate the reading text into interesting class sequences, using the topic for discussion and further tasks, using the language for study and later activation

Sharon said that there are three activities in teaching reading, they are:

1. Before reading

In this activity many students are connecting their prior knowledge to what they are going to read by building key concepts and vocabulary of the text. And the teacher should help students make connections based on their prior knowledge and set for purpose. Graves, Juel, and Graves suggest that teachers do the following prior to having students read ${ }^{14}$ :
a. Teach students to set a purpose for reading
b. Provide questions and connections that motivate students to be engaged when they read
c. Pre-teach key vocabulary and concepts
d. Link students' background knowledge and experiences with what they are going to read
e. Related the text to students' lives

[^10]f. Teach students text features and how to use them to understand what they read.
2. During reading and After reading

In this activity the teacher ensures that students are active, engaged, and likely to understand and respond to text during and after reading, teacher should do ${ }^{15}$ :
a. Teach students though demonstration and think-aloud how to monitor their understanding before while they read and recognize difficult concept or word
b. Provide students with student or teacher generated questions to consider while reading
c. Help students draw inferences from text
d. Have students summarize the main idea of selected paragraph
e. Ask students to confirm, disconfirm or extend predictions and questions generated prior to reading.

Teaching reading is not only focuses on developing the students' ability in reading, but also focuses on the students' reading comprehension skill. Taele and Yakuto stated that Comprehension must be the central focus of teaching children to read and not something to be emphasized ${ }^{16}$.

[^11]
## b. Students' Reading Comprehension

Comprehension is the central of reading ${ }^{17}$. It is the important ones in reading. Without comprehension the readers / students are not able to find out the meaning of the text. Comprehension is the process of deriving meaning from connected text ${ }^{18}$. The readers should master the comprehension skill. It requires prior knowledge to have the comprehension skill. The more readers have good prior knowledge the easier they comprehend the reading text. Understanding the importance of background knowledge to comprehension is critical because we connect new information with prior knowledge before we integrate and organize the new information ${ }^{19}$.

Reading comprehension is the process of simultaneously extracting and constructing meaning through interaction and involvement with written language ${ }^{20}$. When reading, a reader should use his / her prior knowledge to get new knowledge. Reading comprehension is about relating prior knowledge to new knowledge contained in written texts ${ }^{21}$.

[^12]Based on Karen, reading comprehension is dependent on three factors ${ }^{22}$, they are:

1. The readers have command of the linguistic structures of the text.
2. The readers are able to exercise metacognitive control over the content being read. Its means that the readers are able to monitor and reflect on his or her own level of understanding while reading the material.
3. The readers have adequate background in the content and vocabulary being presented.

## c. Level of Students' Reading Comprehension

According to Smith, reading comprehension is considered to occur at four levels of complexity. These levels are often referred to as literal level, inferential level, critical level and ${ }^{23}$. Consider the following brief passage:

1. Literal level

The literal level is the first level of comprehension. at this level the readers understand and identify the fact or the information stated in text directly. In here, the readers are required to be able to recall the fact of the reading text. Linda said that literal level is level of understanding represented by the ability to recall factual information from the text ${ }^{24}$.

[^13]2. Inferential level

At the inferential level the reader is able to go beyond what is written on the page and add meaning or draw conclusions. At this level, the author's m.essage serves as a pivotal point in regulating the reader's deeper thinking. The text becomes reconstructed or tailored in the reader's mind to accommodate the reader's background experience and personal goals ${ }^{25}$.
3. Critical level

At the critical level the reader assesses the good sense of what he or she is reading, its clarity, accuracy and any apparent exaggeration or bias.
4. Creative level

At the creative level the reader can take information or ideas from what has been read and develop new ideas from them. The creative level stimulates the reader to new and original thinking

## d. Ways of Improving Students Reading Comprehension

Comprehension is actually the main goal of learning to read. There are many ways to improve reading comprehension ${ }^{26}$ :

1. Building vocabulary can help a reader recognize more words and better understand the overall meaning of the text.
2. Understanding the structure of text or how it is organized helps readers know what to expect and where, so they can better comprehend what they are

[^14]reading. Teachers show students different ways to understand the structure of the text to improve their comprehension.
3. Teachers can give students strategies or guidelines for understanding different types of texts, such as a newspaper, a fiction book, or a menu.
4. Such strategies teach students to ask and answer questions about what they are reading, summarize paragraphs and stories they read, and draw conclusions about the information.
5. Teaching students to think about what they are reading is an important way for them to use their skills to understand science, history, social studies, math, and many other subjects they will study throughout their education.

Thus, Elizabeth stated that students can be instructed in strategies to improve text comprehension and information use. The practical applications are ${ }^{27}$ :

1. Instruction can improve comprehension by focusing on concepts and the vocabulary used to express them.
2. Comprehension can also be enhanced by building on students' background knowledge, e.g. by having a group discussion before reading.
3. Teachers can guide students by modeling the actions they can take to improve comprehension. These actions include: asking questions about a text while reading; identifying main ideas; using prior knowledge to make predictions.

[^15]4. Teaching a combination of different strategies is better than focusing on one.
5. Different methods have been found to be effective in teaching text comprehension.

## 2. Students' Reading Comprehension in Recount Text

Recount text is one of non-fiction texts. Recount text is the retelling or recounting of past experiences. Recount is generally based on the direct experiences of the author but may also be imaginative or outside the author's experience ${ }^{28}$. Recount text may be in the form of biographies, autobiographies, newspaper reports of event, histories, letters, diaries, journals, eye-witness accounts of incidents. The purpose of recount text is to tell what happened in past or experiences. There are three types of recount text:

1. Personal recount: retelling an experience in wich the author has been directly involved ${ }^{29}$.
2. Factual recount: retelling an event or incident in the past. It is common in news paper, on TV news shows, on Internet website that report and when people tell each other about something they have heard or seen ${ }^{30}$.

[^16]3. Imaginative recount: taking on a fictitious role and relating imaginary event ${ }^{31}$

The three types of recount text have same general structure, they are:

1. Orientation: introduce the participants, place, and time, usually for answering who? When? Where? And why?
2. Event: the series of event that written/ arrangred chronologically
3. Reorientation: the closing series of event.

The three types of recount text also have same language feature, they are:

1. Includes specific participants and use first personal pronoun
2. Usually written in simple past tense
3. Use of dynamic or action verb, e.g went, saw
4. Use of linking words to do with time provides the cohesive ties in the text, e.g yesterday, when, after, before, during

## 3. Factors Influence Students' Reading Comprehension

There are some factors that influence reading comprehension. Dorn and Soffos said that comprehension is influenced by a range of internal factors, they are: perceptions, belief, motivation, and problem solving strategies ${ }^{32}$. According to Petter Westwood, there are eight factors that influence the comprehension. They are ${ }^{33}$ :

[^17]a. Limited of vocabulary
b. Lack of fluency
c. Lack of familiarity with the subject matter
d. Difficulty level of the text (read ability)
e. Inadequate use of effective reading strategies
f. Weak verbal reasoning
g. Problems with processing information
h. Problems in recalling information after reading

According to Tankersley reading comprehension is influenced by four main factors. They are as follows: ${ }^{34}$
a. Command on the linguistic structure of the text
b. Adequate the vocabulary in content area
c. Degree of metacognitive control of the text and
d. Adequate domain knowledge

## 4. Word Splash Strategy

a. Concept of Word Splash strategy

Word splash is adapted from a strategy called key word, originally developed by reading researcher Dorsey Hammond of Oakland University in Rochester, Michigan ${ }^{35}$. Word Splash strategy is one of great reading strategies. Word Splash allows students to activate their

[^18]prior knowledge and discuss key vocabulary term all at once ${ }^{36}$. Word Splash is designed to help students access prior knowledge of the topic, contructs meaning for the word and find key idea.

## Table II. 1

## Word Splash Format

| WORD SPLASH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Experience | fluently | Motorcycle |
| persuaded | proud | red |
| refused Teach | $m e$ | ride |
| accident | intended | advices |
| SHIPRERY | Y m |  |

## b. Procedures of Word Splash Strategy

Based on two books (Virginia and Randy Stone) that have been read by the writer, the writer concluded the procedure of Word Splash strategy as follow:

1. Introduce $10-12$ or more unusual or unfamiliar words from the text, arrange the words around the Word Splash.
2. Ask the students to read the key word of the text
3. Ask the students to work in groups

[^19]4. Asks the students to make prediction on how the word may connect to each other and predict the topic of the text based on the key vocabularies
5. The students write their prediction into complete sentence
6. The teacher gives the text to students
7. The students read the text
8. The students check how close their prediction was
9. Ask the students to revise their prediction based on the text that they have been read

## c. Advantages of Using Word Splash Strategy

By using Word Splash strategy the students are easier to comprehend the reading text because as the writer said before, Word Splash is one of great reading strategies. By using it the students can activate their prior knowledge and build vocabularies of the text, where prior knowledge and vocabulary are needed in comprehension and also both of them are the key element of reading. This idea is supported by David Nunan "the key element of reading are: activate prior knowledge, cultivate vocabulary, teach for comprehension, increase reading rate, verity reading strategies and evaluate progress ${ }^{37, \prime}$. In addition, the advantages of the using word splash strategy is that students are more active in learning reading because in word splash procedures the students read, comprehend the key words of reading, then the students

[^20]predict the meaning of the text by using the key word are given and write it. After that the teacher gives the reading text to the students and the students read it and match their prediction with the text it self.

Based on explanation above, it is clear enough that the students get more jobs in learning reading that make them more active.

## d. Teaching Reading by Using Word Splash Strategy

There are some steps in conducting Word Splash in the classroom, they are:

1. Introduce 10 or more main vocabularies to the students that taken from the the text by the teacher
2. Arrange the key vocabularies in around the Word Splash The teacher can modify the written form of the vocabularies. The vocabularies can be written on paper or white board by one color and also colorfully. If the teacher wants to write the vocabularies on the paper, she / he can prepare them before coming to the class.
3. Ask the students to work in team/group
4. The students read the key vocabulary and the students have to understand how the vocabularies may connect to each other
5. Then, the students are asked to predict the topic of the text by using the key vocabularies
6. The teacher asks the students to write their prediction
7. Ask the students to present their prediction
8. The students read the text
9. The students should revise their prediction based on what (the text) they have read.

## B. Relevant Research

Relevant research requires some previous researches conducted by other researcher in which they are relevant to our research itself. Besides, the researcher has to analyze what the point that focused on, inform the design, finding and conclusion of the previous research, that of:

1. Egis Fajruna El Mubarok conducted a research entitled: "Effect of PreQuestion toward Reading Comprehension of the Second Year Students at Integrated Islamic Junior High school Arroyan At-taqwa". The research was included into Experimental research focus on Static-group research. His research was conducted at intergrated Islamic Junior High School Arroyan A-taqwa Pekan Baru. It was conducted in December up to May 2009. The population of his research was the second year students at Integrated Islamic Junior High School Arroyan At-taqwa Pekan Baru. They were about 156 students divided into five classes and the sample were $1_{3}$ as experimental group and $1_{5}$ as control group.

Pre-Questioning strategy is defined implicitly as some questions which are provided before the students read the whole text, in order to build the students' interest and motivation, also their cognitive factors. Prequestioning is very useful to active the schamata, thus the students can predict what they will face in the reading text.

At the end of his research, he concluded that there was significant effect of Pre-question toward students' reading comprehension. It can be seen from T-Table at the $5 \%$ grade of significance refers to 2.01 . while in the level of significance $1 \%$ is 2.68 . Therefore, it can be analyzed that $t_{o}$ is higher than T-table in either at $5 \%$ or $1 \%$ grade of significance. It can be read that $2.01,<8.26>2.68^{38}$.
2. Agvemi Zulhadi Alga conducted a research entitled: "The Effect of Directed Reading Thinking Activity (DRTA) Strategy toward Reading Comprehension of the First Year Students of SMAN 1 Cerenty". The research was included into Experimental research focus on Static-group research. His research was conducted at the First Year Students of SMAN 1 Cerenty. It was conducted in July up to September 2008. The population of his research was the second year students at the First Year Students of SMAN 1 Cerenty. They were about 163 students divided into five classes and the sample were $1_{3}$ as experimental group and $1_{5}$ as control group.

DRTA is a teaching strategy that guides students in making prediction about a text before reading and then read the text to confirm or revise their prediction.

At the end his research, he concluded that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is accepted (there is a sicnificant difference in reading comprehension between students who are treated with DRTA and those who are treated without DRTA of the first

[^21]year students of SMAN 1 Cerenty). It can be seen from T-table in either at $5 \%$ and $1 \%(2.01<8.26>2.68)^{39}$.

There are similars and differences of Pre-questioning, DRTA and Word Splash strategy.
a. The similar of pre-questioning and word splash strategy are both of them are useful to activate prior knowledge and making prediction about the meaning of the text and similar DRTA and word splash strategy are also both of them are useful to activate prior knowledge and making prediction about the meaning of the text.
b. The differences between Pre-questioning srategy, DRTA strategy and Word Splash strategy are: In pre-questioning strategy students activate prior knowledge based on the title of the text, in DRTA strategy students activate prior knowledge based the topic of the text and in Word Splash strategy students activate prior knowledge by introducing key vocabulary. In Pre-questioning strategy students make prediction by using question, in DRTA strategy students make prediction by using clues and in Word Splash strategy students make prediction based on what they know from the introduced vocabulary.

[^22]
## C. Operational Concept

In carrying out this research, it is necessary to clarify the variable used in analyzing the data. There are two variables; they are independent (X) variable, refers to Word Splash Strategy and dependent(Y) variable, refers to students' reading comprehension. The writer combined the procedures of the indepedent variable from two books(Virginia and Randy Stone) in order the actifities in classroom will be more effective.

1. The Indicators of the using Word Splash strategy are as follow:
a. Introduce $8-15$ unusual or key vocabulary from the text, arrange the words around the Word Splash.
b. each vocabulary is read aloud by the students
c. The teacher asks the students to work in groups
d. The teacher asks the students to make prediction on how the word may connect to each other and predict the topic of the text based on the key vocabularies
e. The teacher asks the students to write their prediction in to a paragraph based on the words on the list
f. The teacher asks students to share their pridiction to other group
g. The teacher asks students to read the recount text
h. And then the teacher asks the students to confirm or revise their predictions based on what they have read.
2. The indicators of variable Y (reading comprehension)
a. The students are able to find out main idea of recount text.
b. The students are able to find the meaning of vocabulary in context.
c. The students are able to determine generic structure of recount text.
d. The students are able to find out the information of recount text.
e. The students are able to identify referent.

## D. Assumption and Hypotheses

## 1. Assumption

In this research, the writer assumed that (a) recount text has been studied by the students. Thus, it is assumed that the students at the second semester of the second year state Junior High School 5 Bagan sinembah were able to do the examination in recount text well. (b) The researcher can applly the procedures of Word Splash strategy well in the class.
2. Hypotheses
a. $\quad H_{0}$ : There is no significant effect of using Word Splash strategy toward students' reading comprehension in recount text of the second year students at state Junior High School 5 Bagan Sinembah.
b. $H_{a}$ : There is a significant effect of using Word Splash strategy toward students' reading comprehension in recount text of the second year students at state Junior High School 5 Bagan sinembah

## CHAPTER III

## METHOD OF THE RESEARCH

## A. Research Design

This research was a quasi experimental design. John Creswell states that quasi-experiment is experimental situation in which the researcher assigns participants to groups, but not randomly ${ }^{1}$. Furthermore, Gay and Peter Airasian state that quasi-experimental design is used when the researcher keeps the students in existing classroom intact and the entire classrooms are assigned to treatments ${ }^{2}$. The researcher used intact groups, the first class is as the experimental groups and the second class is as the control group. Both of groups took a pre-test and post-test and only experimental group took the treatment

Therefore, the writer determined that research was a quasiexperimental research, especially non-equivalent control group design. It was structured like a pretest-posttest randomized experiment, but it lacks the key feature of the random assignment. Campbell and Stanley state that nonequivalent control group design involves an experimental group and a control group both given a pretest and a posttest, but in which the control group and

[^23]the experimental group do not have pre-experimental sampling equivalence ${ }^{3}$. The nonequivalent control group design, shown below ${ }^{4}$ :

## Experimental Group

O $\qquad$ X $\qquad$ O

Control Group
O $\qquad$

$$
\mathrm{O}=\text { Test }
$$

$\mathrm{X}=$ treatment by using the Word Splash strategy.

## B. Time and Location of the Research

This research was conducted on April 2012. This research was conducted at state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah, especially the second year students of state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah. The length of time to apply the strategy was about ten meetings including pre-test and posttest.

## C. Subject and Object of the Research

## 1. Subject of the Research

The subject of this research was the second semester of the second year students of State Junior High School 5 Bagan Sinembah, in the academic year of 2011/2012.

[^24]
## 2. Object of the Research

The object of this research was the effect of using Word Splash strategy toward the students' reading comprehension, especially in a recount text.

## D. Population and Sample of the Research

## 1. Population

The population of this research was the second year students of state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah. They were about 75 students divided into three classes. They are assumed to have the same level of proficiency and the same background.

Table III. 1
The Total of Population

| Class | Number of Student |
| :---: | :---: |
| VIII. 1 | 25 |
| VIII. 2 | 25 |
| VIII. 3 | 25 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 5}$ |

## 2. Sample

In this research, the writer used the cluster random sampling as the way to take the sample. It is done by selecting group (not individual) because all members of selected group have similar
characteristics ${ }^{5}$. For the example, the material, the grade and the teacher.

The writer took two classes from population as the sample by using lottery. The writer prepared three rolled papers which were written each class then the writer shake them into a bottle. After that, the writer pulled two rolled papers out. Finally, the writer chose the class of VIII. 2 and VIII. 3 as the sample of this research. Based on the preliminary study by asking the teacher in State Junior High School 5 Bagan Sinembah, both classes were almost homogenous for the total of the students in the class even the achievement in learning.

## E. Technique of Collecting the Data

In this research, the writer used test as the technique to collect data.
The test was given before and after the students learned by using Word Splash strategy to the experimental class and without using Word Splash strategy to the control class in reading comprehension. It was called pre-test and post-test. The pre-test was done in order to know the students' ability in reading comprehension before being taught by using Word Splash strategy and the post-test was done in order to know the effectiveness of using Word Splash strategy toward the students' reading comprehension, especially in a recount text. To get the data about the students' reading comprehension, the

[^25]writer used the assessment based on the indicators of reading comprehension that have been explained in operational concept.

Kind of the test is used was multiple choices. Multiple choices is a kind of test was designed by using four choices and respondent chose one, it is based on the question. The technique can assess the students' reading comprehension. The test consisted of 25 items. The writer constructed or adapted the test from the book which is related. Before questions were given to the students, it was tested about validity and reliability. Therefore, the test was tried out to know if the test is reliable and valid. It used the formulation of validity and reliability.

In analyzing the validity and reliability of the test, the researcher used correlation product moment formula by dividing items into odd and even (splithalf method), the formulations are as in the following ${ }^{6}$ :

The formulation of validity:

$r_{X Y} \quad$ : Correlated Confession between X and Y
X : Odd Items (1,3,5,7,9,11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21,23,25,27,29)
Y : Even Items (2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24,26,28,30)
N : Respondents

[^26]The formulation of reliability

$$
r_{11}=\frac{2 r_{1 / 21 / 2}}{1+r_{1 / 21 / 2}}
$$

Based on the analysis of validity and reliability above (see Appendix 6), the writer found the $r_{\text {value }}$ of validity was 0.658 and $r_{\text {value }}$ of reliability was 0.793. According to Suharsimi Arikunto the value of correlation coefficients is follows ${ }^{7}$;

1. Between 0.800 to $1.00=$ Very High
2. Between 0.600 to $0.800=$ High
3. Between 0.400 to $0.600=$ Enough
4. Between 0.200 to $0.400=$ Low
5. Between 0.00 to $0.200=$ Very Low

In conclusion, the validity of the test was categorized into High level while reliability of the test was also categorized into High level.

## F. Technique of Data Analysis

The writer used T-test as technique to analyze the data. T-test is used in order to find out whether there is a significant effect of Word Splash strategy toward students' reading comprehension, especially in recount text. The technique of data analysis used in this research in independen sample Ttest formula. By using SPSS 17.0 version.

[^27]Suharsimi Arikunto classified score as follow ${ }^{8}$ :

Table III. 2
Classification of Students' Score

| Score | Categories |
| :---: | :---: |
| $80-100$ | Very Good |
| $66-79$ | Good |
| $56-65$ | Enough |
| $40-55$ | Less |
| $30-39$ | Bad |

[^28]
## CHAPTER IV

## THE DATA PRESENTATION AND THE DATA ANALYSIS

## A. The Description of the Data

This chapter presents the results of this research, including the findings and its discussions. The data presentation of this study is the analysis of the pre-test and post-test. The pre-test and post-test were analysed by using SPPS 17.0 for windows. The aim of this research is to obtain the significant effect of improvement of the students' reading comprehension.

The first data were the students' pre-test score. The second data were from the score of the improvement of the students' reading comprehension from pre-test to post-test for both experimental and control group. In giving test; pre-test and post-test, the students were asked to answer the questions based on the text. The test dealt with recount text. It was the topic being taught at the time and evaluated by concerning five components of the students' reading comprehension; namely:

1. The students are able to find out main idea of the text.
2. The students are able to find out detail information containing in the text.
3. The students are able to find the meaning of vocabulary in context (synonym or antonym).
4. The students are able to identify reference.
5. The students are able to identify the generic structure of the text.

The data of this research are all relevant to the required information. The data gathered in this research were all information related to the implementation of Word Splash toward students' reading comprehension.

There were several steps in collecting the data. First, the writer tried out the test to another group of the second year of state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah. The result was analysed to find out the level of difficulty of each item and the reliability of the test.

The data of this research were gotten from the score of the students' experimental group and control group. The test was composed of 25 items, and each item was given score 4 . The final score was analyzed by using the following formula ${ }^{1}$ :

$$
\text { Final Score }=\frac{\text { Total Correct Answer }}{\text { Total Questioner }} \times 100
$$

## B. The Data Presentation

The data of this research were gotten by the researcher from pre-test and post-test. The data were collected through the following procedures:

1. The experimental group and the control group got pre-test, they were asked them to answer the questions based on the reading text given (multiple choices).
2. The experimental group got treatment, it was taught by using Word Splash strategy, while the control group was taught without Word Splash strategy.

[^29]3. Both groups got post-test, they were asked them to answer the questions based on the reading text.
4. The students' answer sheet was collected in order to evaluate their comprehension.

## 1. Students' Reading Comprehension Taught Without Word Splash

 Strategy (Control Group)Pre-test was conducted on April, 2012. The pre-test was conducted in order to measure the students' reading comprehension of recount texts before the treatments. The analysis of the pre-test was aimed to ensure that two groups employed in the research were equal. The analysis involved normally of distribution test in order to examine whether or not the scores of both experimental and control group are normally distributed; homogeneity of variance test to see whether or not the scores of experimental and control groups were normal and homogenous.

Post-test was conducted after giving treatments for experimental class for 8 meetings. The post-test was conducted in order to measure the students' reading comprehension of recount texts after the treatments

Table IV. 1

## The Students' Reading Comprehension Score

of Control Class

| No | Students | Control Class |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-Test | Post-Test |
| 1 | Students 1 | 40 | 44 |
| 2 | Students 2 | 32 | 40 |
| 3 | Students 3 | 44 | 52 |
| 4 | Students 4 | 36 | 44 |
| 5 | Students 5 | 40 | 52 |
| 6 | Students 6 | 36 | 40 |
| 7 | Students 7 | 52 | 44 |
| 8 | Students 8 | 40 | 44 |
| 9 | Students 9 | 56 | 48 |
| 10 | Students 10 | 48 | 60 |
| 11 | Students 11 | 40 | 44 |
| 12 | Students 12 | 48 | 48 |
| 13 | Students 13 | 36 | 36 |
| 14 | Students 14 | 36 | 44 |
| 15 | Students 15 | 48 | 36 |
| 16 | Students 16 | 48 | 60 |
| 17 | Students 17 | 44 | 56 |
| 18 | Students 18 | 48 | 52 |
| 19 | Students 19 | 40 | 48 |
| 20 | Students 20 | 40 | 52 |
| 21 | Students 21 | 48 | 60 |
| 22 | Students 22 | 64 | 56 |
| 23 | Students 23 | 48 | 56 |
| 24 | Students 24 | 60 | 64 |
| 25 | Students 25 | 48 | 56 |
| Total |  | 1120 | 1236 |

From the table above, the researcher found that the total pre-test score of control class was 1120 . The highest score of pre-test was 64 and the lowest was 32 . While, the total of score post-test was 1236 with the highest score was 64 and the lowest score was 36 .

Table IV. 2

## The Result of Students' Pre-test and Post-test Score

## Control Group

| Statistic | Pre- <br> experimental | Post- <br> experimental |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 44.8 | 49.44 |
| Std. Error of mean | 1.566 | 1.564 |
| Median | 44 | 48 |
| Mode | 48 | 44 |
| Std. Deviation | 7.832 | 7.821 |
| Variance | 61.333 | 61.173 |
| Range | 32 | 28 |
| Minimum | 32 | 36 |
| Maximum | 64 | 4 |
| Sum | 1120 | 1236 |

Based on the table above, it shows that mean pre-test score of control group was 44.8 and its standard deviation was 7.832 . While, mean post-test score was 49.44 and its standard deviation was 7.821 .

## 2. Students' Reading Comprehension Taught By Using Word Splash

## Strategy (Experimental Group)

Table IV. 3
Students' Reading Comprehension Score of

## Experimental Group

| No | Students | The Score of Experimental Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-Test | Post-Test |
| 1 | Student 1 | 52 | 60 |
| 2 | Student 2 | 40 | 68 |
| 3 | Student 3 | 56 | 72 |
| 4 | Student 4 | 32 | 64 |
| 5 | Student 5 | 56 | 72 |
| 6 | Student 6 | 40 | 56 |
| 7 | Student 7 | 56 | 64 |
| 8 | Student 8 | 44 | 64 |
| 9 | Student 9 | 52 | 64 |
| 10 | Student 10 | 72 | 76 |
| 11 | Student 11 | 56 | 60 |
| 12 | Student 12 | 32 | 68 |
| 13 | Student 13 | 28 | 60 |
| 14 | Student 14 | 28 | 60 |
| 15 | Student 15 | 44 | 52 |
| 16 | Student 16 | 44 | 76 |
| 17 | Student 17 | 44 | 72 |
| 18 | Student 18 | 40 | 60 |
| 19 | Student 19 | 40 | 60 |
| 20 | Student 20 | 28 | 64 |
| 21 | Student 21 | 40 | 64 |
| 22 | Student 22 | 52 | 60 |
| 23 | Student 23 | 44 | 64 |
| 24 | Student 24 | 56 | 72 |
| 25 | Student 25 | 40 | 64 |
|  | otal | 1116 | 1616 |

Referring to the table, it also can be seen that the total number of the students was 25 students. The highest score was 76 and the lowest score was 52. The highest frequency was 8 at the score of 64 .

## Table. IV. 4

## The Result of Students' Pre-test and Post-test Score of Experimental Group

| Statistic | Pre- <br> experimental | Post- <br> experimental |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 44.64 | 64.64 |
| Std. Error of mean | 2.175 | 1.215 |
| Median | 44 | 64 |
| Mode | 40 | 64 |
| Std. Deviation | 10.874 | 6.075 |
| Variance | 118.24 | 36.907 |
| Range | 44 | 24 |
| Minimum | 28 | 52 |
| Maximum | 72 | 76 |
| Sum | 1116 | 1616 |

Based on the table above, it shows that mean pre-test score of experimental group was 44.64 and its standard deviation was 10.874 . While, mean post-test score was 64.64 and its standard deviation was 6.075. There were improvement in experimental group.

## 3. The Significant Effect on Students' Reading Comprehension Taught

## by Using Word Splash Strategy

Table IV. 5

Students' Reading Comprehension Score of
Experimental and Control Class

| No | Students | Experimental Class |  | Control Class |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-Test | Post-Test | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pre- } \\ & \text { Test } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Post-Test |
| 1 | Students 1 | 52 | 60 | 40 | 44 |
| 2 | Students 2 | 40 | 68 | 32 | 40 |
| 3 | Students 3 | 56 | 72 | 44 | 52 |
| 4 | Students 4 | 32 | 64 | 36 | 44 |
| 5 | Students 5 | 56 | 72 | 40 | 52 |
| 6 | Students 6 | 40 | 56 | 36 | 40 |
| 7 | Students 7 | 56 | 64 | 52 | 44 |
| 8 | Students 8 | 44 | 64 | 40 | 44 |
| 9 | Students 9 | 52 | 64 | 56 | 48 |
| 10 | Students 10 | 72 | 76 | 48 | 60 |
| 11 | Students 11 | 56 | 60 | 40 | 44 |
| 12 | Students 12 | 32 | 68 | 48 | 48 |
| 13 | Students 13 | 28 | 60 | 36 | 36 |
| 14 | Students 14 | 28 | 60 | 36 | 44 |
| 15 | Students 15 | 44 | 52 | 48 | 36 |
| 16 | Students 16 | 44 | 76 | 48 | 60 |
| 17 | Students 17 | 44 | 72 | 44 | 56 |
| 18 | Students 18 | 40 | 60 | 48 | 52 |
| 19 | Students 19 | 40 | 60 | 40 | 48 |
| 20 | Students 20 | 28 | 64 | 40 | 52 |
| 21 | Students 21 | 40 | 64 | 48 | 60 |
| 22 | Students 22 | 52 | 60 | 64 | 56 |
| 23 | Students 23 | 44 | 64 | 48 | 56 |
| 24 | Students 24 | 56 | 72 | 60 | 64 |
| 25 | Students 25 | 40 | 64 | 48 | 56 |
| Total |  | 1116 | 1616 | 1120 | 1236 |

From the table above, the researcher found that the total pre-test score of experimental class was 1116 . The highest score of pre-test was 72 and the lowest was 28 . Then, the total post-test score was 1628 wich highest score was 76 and the lowest score was 52 . While the total pre-test score of control class was 1120. The highest score of pre-test was 64 and the lowest was 32 . While, the total score post-test was 1236 wich the highest score was 64 and the lowest score was 36 .

## C. The Data Analysis

The data analysis presents the statistical result followed by the discussion about how students' reading comprehension in recount text who were taught by using Word Splash Strategy is, how students' reading comprehension in recount text who were taught without using Word Splash Strategy is, and the significant effect of using Word Splash Strategy toward reading comprehension in recount text at the second year students of state junior high school 5 bagan Sinembah. The writer used indipendent sample T-test formula from SPSS. 17 version to analyse the effect of using Word Splash toward students' reading comprehension of the second year students at state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah.

## 1. The Students' Reading Comprehension Taught Without Using Word Splash Strategy (Control Group)

The data of the students' pre-test scores of control group were obtained from the result of students' reading comprehension test which has been presented above (in the data analysis) can be distributed as follows:

Table IV. 6

## The Frequency of Students Pre-test

Score of Control Class

| Score | Tally | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | I | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| 36 | IIII | 4 | $20 \%$ |
| 40 | IIHI I | 6 | $44 \%$ |
| 44 | II | 2 | $8 \%$ |
| 48 | IH III | 8 | $32 \%$ |
| 52 | I | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| 56 | I | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| 60 | I | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| 64 | I | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 25 | 25 | $100 \%$ |

Based on the table above, it shows that there were 1 student got score $32(4 \%), 4$ students got score 36 ( $16 \%$ ), 6 students got score 40 ( $24 \%$ ), 2 students got score 44 ( $8 \%$ ), 8 students got score 48 ( $8 \%$ ), 1 student got score 52 (4\%), 1 student got score 56 (4\%), 1 student got score $60(4 \%)$, and also 1 student got score $64(4 \%)$.

Referring to the table, it also can be seen that the total number of the students was 25 students. The highest score was 64 and the lowest score was 32 . The highest frequency was 8 at the score of 48 . The diagram of the students' pre-test score in control group can as bellow:

## Table IV. 6

The Diagram of Students' Pre-test Score of Control Group

## Frequency



From the diagram above, it can be seen that there are 6 students got score $30-36,16$ students got score $40-48,2$ students got $50-56$ and 2 students got 60-64.

The data of the students' pre-test scores of control class were obtained from the result of students' reading comprehension test which has been presented above can be classified as follows:

Table IV. 8

## Classification of Pre-test Score

of Control Class

| NO | CATEGORIES | SCORE | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Very Good | $80-100$ | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Good | $70-79$ | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Enough | $60-69$ | 2 | 8 |
| 4 | Less | $50-59$ | 2 | 8 |
| 5 | Bad | $0-49$ | 21 | 84 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 25 | 100 |

Based on the table above, the pre-test classification of control class of the second year students at state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah shows that there were none student categorized into Very Good level ( $0 \%$ ), and Good level ( $0 \%$ ), 2 students are categorized into Enough level (8), 2 students are categorized into Less level (8\%), and 21 students are categorized into Bad level (84\%). Thus, the majority of students in this regard were classified into BAD category.

The data of the students' pre-test scores of control group were obtained from the result of students' reading comprehension test which has been presented above (in the data analysis) can be distributed as follows:

Table IV. 9
The Frequency of Students Post-test Score
of Control Class

| Score | Tally | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | II | 2 | $8 \%$ |
| 40 | II | 2 | $8 \%$ |
| 44 | IIH I | 6 | $24 \%$ |
| 48 | III | 3 | $12 \%$ |
| 52 | IIII | 4 | $16 \%$ |
| 56 | IIII | 4 | $16 \%$ |
| 60 | III | 3 | $12 \%$ |
| 64 | I | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 25 | 25 | $100 \%$ |

Based on the table above, it shows that there were 2 students got score 36 (8\%), 2 students got score 40 ( $8 \%$ ), 6 students got score 44 (24\%), 3 students got score 48 ( $12 \%$ ), 4 students got score 52 ( $16 \%$ ), 4 students got $56(16 \%) 3$ students got score $60(12 \%)$, 1student got score 64 (4\%)

Referring to the table, it also can be seen that the total number of the students was 25 students. The highest score was 64 and the lowest score was 36 . The highest frequency was 6 at the score of 44 . The diagram of post-test score of control class can be seen as bellow:

Table IV. 10
The Diagram of Students' Post-test Score
of Control Group


From the diagram above, it can be seen that there are 2 students got score $30-36,11$ students got score $40-48,4$ students got $50-56$ and 4 students got score 60-64. The data of the students' post-test scores of control class were obtained from the result of students' reading comprehension test which has been presented above can be classified as follows:

Table IV. 11
Classification of Post-test Score of Control Group

| NO | CATEGORIES | SCORE | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Very Good | $80-100$ | - | - |
| 2 | Good | $70-79$ | - | - |
| 3 | Enough | $60-69$ | 4 | 14 |
| 4 | Less | $50-59$ | 8 | 32 |
| 5 | Bad | $0-49$ | 13 | 52 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 25 | 100 |

Based on the table above, the post-test classification of control class of the second year students at state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah shows that there were 4 students are categorized into Enough level (16\%), 8 students are categorized into less level (32\%), and 13 students are categorized into bad level (52\%). Thus, the majority of students in this regard were classified into $B A D$ level.

## 2. The Students' Reading Comprehension Taught by Using Word Splash Strategy (Experimental Group)

The students' pre-test scores of experimental group were obtained from the result of the students' reading comprehension test which has been presented above (in the data analysis) can be distributed as follows:

Table IV. 12
The Frequency of Students' Pre-test
Score of Experimental Class

| Score | Tally | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | III | 3 | $12 \%$ |
| 32 | II | 2 | $8 \%$ |
| 40 | III 1 | 6 | $24 \%$ |
| 44 | III | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| 52 | III | 3 | $12 \%$ |
| 56 | III | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| 72 | 1 | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 21 | 25 | $100 \%$ |

Based on the table above, it shows that there were 3 student got score 28 ( $12 \%$ ), 2 students got score 32 ( $8 \%$ ), 6 students got score 40 (24\%), 5 students got score 44 ( $20 \%$ ), 3 students got score 52 ( $12 \%$ ), 5 students got score 56 (20\%), 1 student got score $72(4 \%)$.

Referring to the table, it also can be seen that the total number of the students was 25 students. The highest score was 68 and the lowest score was 24 . The highest frequency was 6 at the score of 40 . The diagram of the students' pre-test score in experimental group can as bellow:

## Table IV. 13

## The Diagram of Students' Pre-test Score

of Experimental Group


From the diagram above, it can be seen that there are 3 students got score 20, 2 students got score 32,15 students got score $40-44,8$ students got score $52-56$ and 1 student got score 72 .

The students' pre-test scores of experimental class were obtained from the result of the students' reading comprehension test which has been presented above can be classified as follows:

Table IV. 14

## Classification of Pre-test Score of

## Experimental Group

| NO | CATEGORIES | SCORE | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Very Good | $80-100$ | - | - |
| 2. | Good | $70-79$ | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| 3. | Enough | $60-69$ | - | - |
| 4. | Less | $50-59$ | 8 | $32 \%$ |
| 5. | Bad | $0-49$ | 16 | $64 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | 25 | $100 \%$ |

Based on the table above, the pre-test classification of experimental class of the second year students at state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah shows that there were none students categorized into Very Good level ( $0 \%$ ), 1 student categorized into Good level (4\%), and also there were none students categorized into Enough level (0\%), and 8 students categorized into Less level (32\%), and 16 students categorized into Bad level ( $64 \%$ ). Thus, the majority of students in this regard were classified into BAD category.

The students' post-test scores of experimental group were obtained from the result of the students' reading comprehension test which has been presented above (in the data analysis) can be distributed as follows:

Table IV. 15
Frequency Distribution of Students' Post-test
Score of Experimental Class

| Score | Tally | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | I | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| 56 | I | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| 60 | LI II | 7 | $28 \%$ |
| 64 | HH III | 8 | $32 \%$ |
| 68 | II | 2 | $8 \%$ |
| 72 | IIII | 4 | $16 \%$ |
| 76 | II | 2 | $8 \%$ |
| Total | 25 | 25 | $100 \%$ |

Based on the table above, it shows that there was 1 student who got score $52(4 \%), 1$ student who got score $56(4 \%), 7$ students who got score $60(28 \%), 8$ students who got score 64 ( $32 \%$ ), 2 students who got score 68 ( $8 \%$ ), 4 students who got score 72 (16), 2 students who got score 76 (8).

Referring to the table, it also can be seen that the total number of the students was 25 students. The highest score was 76 and the lowest score was 52 . The highest frequency was 8 at the score of 64 . The diagram of the students' post-test score in experimental group can as bellow:

Table IV. 16

## The Diagram of Students' Post-test Score of Experimental Group



From the diagram above, it can be seen that there are 2 students got score $52-56,17$ students got score 60-68, and 6 students got score 72-76.

The students' post-test scores of the experimental class were obtained from the result of the students' reading comprehension test which has been presented above can be classified as follows:

Table IV. 17

## Classification of Post-test Score of

## Experimental Group

| NO | CATEGORIES | SCORE | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Very Good | $80-100$ | - | - |
| 2 | Good | $70-79$ | 6 | 24 |
| 3 | Enough | $60-69$ | 17 | 68 |
| 4 | Less | $50-59$ | 2 | 8 |
| 5 | Bad | $0-49$ | - | - |
| TOTAL |  |  | 25 | 100 |

Based to the table above, the post-test classification of experimental class of the second year students at state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah shows that there were 17 students categorized into Enough level (76\%), 6 students categorized into Good level (24\%), and 2 students categorized into less level. Thus, the majority of students in this regard were classified into Enough level.

## 3. The Significant Effect of Using Word Splash Strategy Toward Students' Reading Comprehention Especially in Recount Text

Table IV. 18
The Students' Reading Comprehension from Pre-test to Post-test at Experimental and Control Class

| No | Students | Experimental Class |  | Gain <br> Score | Percentage | Control Class |  | Gain Score | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PreTest | Post- <br> Test |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pre- } \\ & \text { Test } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PostTest |  |  |
| 1 | Students 1 | 52 | 60 | 8 | 15 | 40 | 44 | 4 | 10 |
| 2 | Students 2 | 40 | 68 | 28 | 70 | 32 | 40 | 8 | 25 |
| 3 | Students 3 | 56 | 72 | 16 | 29 | 44 | 52 | 8 | 18 |
| 4 | Students 4 | 32 | 64 | 32 | 100 | 36 | 44 | 8 | 22 |
| 5 | Students 5 | 56 | 72 | 16 | 29 | 40 | 52 | 12 | 30 |
| 6 | Students 6 | 40 | 56 | 16 | 40 | 36 | 40 | 4 | 11 |
| 7 | Students 7 | 56 | 64 | 8 | 14 | 52 | 44 | -8 | -15 |
| 8 | Students 8 | 44 | 64 | 20 | 45 | 40 | 44 | 4 | 10 |
| 9 | Students 9 | 52 | 64 | 12 | 23 | 56 | 48 | -8 | -14 |
| 10 | Students 10 | 72 | 76 | 4 | 6 | 48 | 60 | 12 | 25 |
| 11 | Students 11 | 56 | 60 | 4 | 7 | 40 | 44 | 4 | 10 |
| 12 | Students 12 | 32 | 68 | 36 | 113 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Students 13 | 28 | 60 | 32 | 114 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Students 14 | 28 | 60 | 32 | 114 | 36 | 44 | 8 | 22 |
| 15 | Students 15 | 44 | 52 | 8 | 18 | 48 | 36 | -12 | -25 |
| 16 | Students 16 | 44 | 76 | 32 | 73 | 48 | 60 | 12 | 25 |
| 17 | Students 17 | 44 | 72 | 28 | 64 | 44 | 56 | 12 | 27 |
| 18 | Students 18 | 40 | 60 | 20 | 50 | 48 | 52 | 4 | 8 |
| 19 | Students 19 | 40 | 60 | 20 | 50 | 40 | 48 | 8 | 20 |
| 20 | Students 20 | 28 | 64 | 36 | 129 | 40 | 52 | 12 | 30 |
| 21 | Students 21 | 40 | 64 | 24 | 60 | 48 | 60 | 12 | 25 |
| 22 | Students 22 | 52 | 60 | 8 | 15 | 64 | 56 | -8 | -13 |
| 23 | Students 23 | 44 | 64 | 20 | 45 | 48 | 56 | 8 | 17 |
| 24 | Students 24 | 56 | 72 | 16 | 29 | 60 | 64 | 4 | 7 |
| 25 | Students 25 | 40 | 64 | 24 | 60 | 48 | 56 | 8 | 17 |
| Mean |  | 44,64 | 64,64 | 20 | 52\% | 44.8 | 49.44 | 4.64 | 12\% |

The table above shows the mean of score of experimental class is 64,64 while the mean score of control class is 49.44 . Both of the classes have their improvement from pre-test score, but the improvement is different; the score of students' reading comprehention at experimental class is higher than control class.

Besides, the table above shows that the difference of mean gain score at the experimental class was 20 by percentage $52 \%$ while at control class was 4,64 by percentage $12 \%$. Based on the percentage of both classes, it means that the Word Splash Strategy gave a good effect on studens' reading comprehension, especially in recount text.

To know clearly the significant effect on the students' reading comprehention taught by using Word Splash strateg, the writer analyzed it by using independent sample T- Test.

TABLE IV. 19
Independent Samples Test

|  |  | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances |  | t-test for Equality of Means |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |
|  |  | F | Sig. | T | Df | Sig. (2tailed) | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | Lower | Upper |
| score | Equal variances assumed | 4.199 | . 046 | 6.211 | 48 | . 000 | 15.360 | 2.473 | 10.387 | 20.333 |
|  | Equal <br> variances <br> not <br> assumed |  |  | 6.211 | 42.951 | . 000 | 15.360 | 2.473 | 10.372 | 20.348 |

Based on the output SPSS above, independent-Sample T-test shows Levene's Test to know the same variance.

Significant value $>$ Significant $\alpha$ (0.05)
Significant value $<$ Significant $\alpha$ (0.05)
Based on the output SPSS above, it can be seen that Significant value $(0.000)$ < Significant $\alpha(0.05)$.

From the output above, it also can be seen that $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}}$ (6.211) with $\mathrm{df}=48$, becuse of $\mathrm{df}=48$ was not found from the T-table, so the researcher took $\mathrm{df}=50$, mean difference 15.360 and standard error difference is 2.473 . Lower interval of the difference is 11.387 and upper confidence difference is 20.33

If $\mathbf{t}_{0} 6.211$ compares with $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ with df 50 , the t critic point is:

Significance 5\% $=2.01$

Significance $1 \%=2.68$

It can be seen that the $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{o}}$ is higher than $\boldsymbol{t}_{t}$ in significance $5 \%$ and $1 \%$. In other words, it can be read $2.01<6.211>2.68$. Its mean $H_{0}$ is rejected and $H_{a}$ is accepted or there was significant effect on students' reading comprehention in recount text taught by using Word Splash strategy.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

## A. Conclusion

Based on the data analysis and research finding in chapter IV, finally the research entitled "The Effect of Using Word Splash Strategy toward Reading Comprehension of the Second Year Students at State Junior High 5 Bagan Sinembah" can be conclude as follows:

1. The students' reading comprehension taught without using Word Splash at the second year of state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah is categorized into less level with mean score 49.44.
2. The students' reading comprehension taught by using Word Splash at the second year of state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah is categorized into good level with mean score 64.64.
3. $H_{o}$ is rejected and $H_{a}$ is accepted or there was significant effect on students' reading comprehension in recount text taught by using Word Splash strategy. It can be seen from $\mathrm{t}_{\mathbf{0}} 6.211$ is higher than T-table either at $5 \%=2.01$ or $1 \%=2.68$.

So, it can be known that Word Splash strategy is an effective strategy to teach reading skill, especially for the second year students of state junior high school 5 Bagan Sinembah

## B. Suggestion

Based on the conclusion above, the writer found that there was significant effect of using Word Splash strategy toward reading comprehension, so, the writer would like to give some suggestions for the teacher and also for the students.

1. Suggestions for the teacher:
a. Since the writer used of Word Splash strategy in teaching English, especially in reading skill, she found that there was significant contribution in her teaching, such as: the students' attention is more focus in their studying and students' interest in studying English. So hopefully, the English teacher always keep using different method, technique or strategy in teaching and learning process
b. In order that students comprehend more text and to diminish boredom in learning English especially in reading subject, the teacher should be creative to select kinds of reading text
c. Besides that, the teacher should be selective and creative in choosing appropriate materials to improve the students' ability and to enhance students' motivation in learning English.
2. Suggestion for the students:
a. The students should be aware of the importance of reading to get some information they need. They should not judge that reading as a boring activity.
b. Students in general should encourage themselves to learn more, to ask what they do not understand and to learn as much as possible.
c. The students should try to understand the use of Word Splash strategy in reading text
d. The students pay more attention to the lesson that has been shared by the students in front of the class.
e. The students should independently do their exercise.

Finally, the researcher considers that this study still needs validation from the next researcher that has the same topic as this study.
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